

Joint Meeting AMS UMI, Palermo 2024

Star operations related to polynomial closure

Francesca Tartarone (joint work with Dario Spirito)

Università degli Studi Roma Tre

July 23th, 2024



Definitions

Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K .

For each subset $E \subseteq K$, $\text{Int}(E, D) := \{f \in K[X] \mid f(E) \subseteq D\}$ is called the *ring of D -integer-valued polynomials over E* . When $E = D$ we set $\text{Int}(D) := \text{Int}(D, D)$.

The *polynomial closure* (in D) of E is defined as the set

$$\text{cl}_D(E) := \{x \in K \mid f(x) \in D, \forall f \in \text{Int}(E, D)\},$$

$\text{cl}_D(E)$ is the largest subset of K such that

$$\text{Int}(E, D) = \text{Int}(\text{cl}_D(E), D)$$

If $E, F \subseteq K$ are such that $\text{Int}(E, D) = \text{Int}(F, D)$ we say that they are *polynomially equivalent*.

Definitions

Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K .

For each subset $E \subseteq K$, $\text{Int}(E, D) := \{f \in K[X] \mid f(E) \subseteq D\}$ is called the *ring of D -integer-valued polynomials over E* . When $E = D$ we set $\text{Int}(D) := \text{Int}(D, D)$.

The *polynomial closure* (in D) of E is defined as the set

$$\text{cl}_D(E) := \{x \in K \mid f(x) \in D, \forall f \in \text{Int}(E, D)\},$$

$\text{cl}_D(E)$ is the largest subset of K such that

$$\text{Int}(E, D) = \text{Int}(\text{cl}_D(E), D)$$

If $E, F \subseteq K$ are such that $\text{Int}(E, D) = \text{Int}(F, D)$ we say that they are *polynomially equivalent*.

Definitions

Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K .

For each subset $E \subseteq K$, $\text{Int}(E, D) := \{f \in K[X] \mid f(E) \subseteq D\}$ is called the *ring of D -integer-valued polynomials over E* . When $E = D$ we set $\text{Int}(D) := \text{Int}(D, D)$.

The *polynomial closure* (in D) of E is defined as the set

$$\text{cl}_D(E) := \{x \in K \mid f(x) \in D, \forall f \in \text{Int}(E, D)\},$$

$\text{cl}_D(E)$ is the largest subset of K such that

$$\text{Int}(E, D) = \text{Int}(\text{cl}_D(E), D)$$

If $E, F \subseteq K$ are such that $\text{Int}(E, D) = \text{Int}(F, D)$ we say that they are *polynomially equivalent*.

Definitions

Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K .

For each subset $E \subseteq K$, $\text{Int}(E, D) := \{f \in K[X] \mid f(E) \subseteq D\}$ is called the *ring of D -integer-valued polynomials over E* . When $E = D$ we set $\text{Int}(D) := \text{Int}(D, D)$.

The *polynomial closure* (in D) of E is defined as the set

$$\text{cl}_D(E) := \{x \in K \mid f(x) \in D, \forall f \in \text{Int}(E, D)\},$$

$\text{cl}_D(E)$ is the largest subset of K such that

$$\text{Int}(E, D) = \text{Int}(\text{cl}_D(E), D)$$

If $E, F \subseteq K$ are such that $\text{Int}(E, D) = \text{Int}(F, D)$ we say that they are *polynomially equivalent*.

polynomial closure

A subset $E \subseteq K$ such that $\text{Int}(E, D) = \text{Int}(D)$ is said **polynomially dense** in D .

For instance \mathbb{Z}^+ , \mathbb{Z}^- or the union of two coprime ideals in \mathbb{Z} are polynomially dense in \mathbb{Z} .

The concept of polynomial closure was introduced by Gilmer (1989) and McQuillan (1991) in a topological context. For instance in a Dedekind domain with finite residue fields the polynomial closure of a subset E is the intersection of its topological closures in every maximal ideal-adic topology.

Successively, the polynomial closure of ideals was studied as a star-operation.

polynomial closure

A subset $E \subseteq K$ such that $\text{Int}(E, D) = \text{Int}(D)$ is said **polynomially dense** in D .

For instance \mathbb{Z}^+ , \mathbb{Z}^- or the union of two coprime ideals in \mathbb{Z} are polynomially dense in \mathbb{Z} .

The concept of polynomial closure was introduced by Gilmer (1989) and McQuillan (1991) in a topological context. For instance in a Dedekind domain with finite residue fields the polynomial closure of a subset E is the intersection of its topological closures in every maximal ideal-adic topology.

Successively, the polynomial closure of ideals was studied as a star-operation.

polynomial closure

A subset $E \subseteq K$ such that $\text{Int}(E, D) = \text{Int}(D)$ is said **polynomially dense** in D .

For instance \mathbb{Z}^+ , \mathbb{Z}^- or the union of two coprime ideals in \mathbb{Z} are polynomially dense in \mathbb{Z} .

The concept of polynomial closure was introduced by Gilmer (1989) and McQuillan (1991) in a topological context. For instance in a Dedekind domain with finite residue fields the polynomial closure of a subset E is the intersection of its topological closures in every maximal ideal-adic topology.

Successively, the polynomial closure of ideals was studied as a star-operation.

polynomial closure

A subset $E \subseteq K$ such that $\text{Int}(E, D) = \text{Int}(D)$ is said **polynomially dense** in D .

For instance \mathbb{Z}^+ , \mathbb{Z}^- or the union of two coprime ideals in \mathbb{Z} are polynomially dense in \mathbb{Z} .

The concept of polynomial closure was introduced by Gilmer (1989) and McQuillan (1991) in a topological context. For instance in a Dedekind domain with finite residue fields the polynomial closure of a subset E is the intersection of its topological closures in every maximal ideal-adic topology.

Successively, the polynomial closure of ideals was studied as a star-operation.

star-operations

Let $\mathfrak{F}(D)$ be the set of nonzero fractional ideals of D . A star-operation is a map $*$: $\mathfrak{F}(D) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(D)$, $I \mapsto I^*$ satisfying the following properties for each $a \in K \setminus \{0\}$ and $I, J \in \mathfrak{F}(D)$:

$$(*1) \quad (aD)^* = aD; \quad (aI)^* = aI^*;$$

$$(*2) \quad I \subseteq I^*;$$

$$(*3) \quad I \subseteq J \Rightarrow I^* \subseteq J^*;$$

$$(*4) \quad (I^*)^* = I^*.$$

star-operations

Let $\mathfrak{F}(D)$ be the set of nonzero fractional ideals of D . A star-operation is a map $*$: $\mathfrak{F}(D) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(D)$, $I \mapsto I^*$ satisfying the following properties for each $a \in K \setminus \{0\}$ and $I, J \in \mathfrak{F}(D)$:

$$(*1) \quad (aD)^* = aD; \quad (aI)^* = aI^*;$$

$$(*2) \quad I \subseteq I^*;$$

$$(*3) \quad I \subseteq J \Rightarrow I^* \subseteq J^*;$$

$$(*4) \quad (I^*)^* = I^*.$$

star-operations

The **divisorial closure** or v -closure is the map:

$$I \mapsto I_v := (I^{-1})^{-1},$$

where $I^{-1} := \{x \in K \mid xI \subseteq D\}$. We have that $I^* \subseteq I_v$ for each $I \in \mathfrak{F}(D)$ and each star-operation $*$.

The identity is also star operation.

The set $\text{Star}(D)$ of star operations on D has a natural order given by $\star_1 \leq \star_2$ if $I^{\star_1} \subseteq I^{\star_2}$, for every fractional ideal I . Under this order, $\text{Star}(D)$ is a complete lattice whose **minimum is the identity** and whose **maximum is the v -operation**.

star-operations

The **divisorial closure** or v -closure is the map:

$$I \mapsto I_v := (I^{-1})^{-1},$$

where $I^{-1} := \{x \in K \mid xI \subseteq D\}$. We have that $I^* \subseteq I_v$ for each $I \in \mathfrak{F}(D)$ and each star-operation $*$.

The identity is also star operation.

The set $\text{Star}(D)$ of star operations on D has a natural order given by $\star_1 \leq \star_2$ if $I^{\star_1} \subseteq I^{\star_2}$, for every fractional ideal I . Under this order, $\text{Star}(D)$ is a complete lattice whose **minimum is the identity** and whose **maximum is the v -operation** .

some considerations about the v -operation

- Every D -homomorphism from I to D can be uniquely extended to a D -homomorphism from K to $K \Rightarrow$ identify $\text{Hom}_D(I, D)$ with the subset of $\text{Hom}_D(K, K)$ mapping I into D
- $I^{-1} \stackrel{\varphi}{\cong} \text{Hom}_D(I, D)$ defined by $\varphi(a)(x) = ax$ for all $a \in I^{-1}$ and $x \in I$ (thus $\text{Hom}_D(I, D) \cong I^{-1}X$)
- $I_v \stackrel{\lambda}{\cong} \text{Hom}_D(\text{Hom}_D(I, D), D)$ defined by $\lambda(x)(f) = f(x)$ for all $x \in I_v$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_D(I, D)$
- $I_v = \{x \in K \mid f(x) \in D, \forall f \in \text{Hom}_D(I, D)\}$
- I_v is the biggest ideal such that $\text{Hom}_D(I, D) = \text{Hom}(I_v, D)$.

The inclusion $I^{-1}X \subseteq \text{Int}(I, D)$ implies that $I_v \supseteq \text{cl}_D(I)$.

some considerations about the v -operation

- Every D -homomorphism from I to D can be uniquely extended to a D -homomorphism from K to $K \Rightarrow$ identify $\text{Hom}_D(I, D)$ with the subset of $\text{Hom}_D(K, K)$ mapping I into D
- $I^{-1} \stackrel{\varphi}{\cong} \text{Hom}_D(I, D)$ defined by $\varphi(a)(x) = ax$ for all $a \in I^{-1}$ and $x \in I$ (thus $\text{Hom}_D(I, D) \cong I^{-1}X$)
- $I_v \stackrel{\lambda}{\cong} \text{Hom}_D(\text{Hom}_D(I, D), D)$ defined by $\lambda(x)(f) = f(x)$ for all $x \in I_v$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_D(I, D)$
- $I_v = \{x \in K \mid f(x) \in D, \forall f \in \text{Hom}_D(I, D)\}$
- I_v is the biggest ideal such that $\text{Hom}_D(I, D) = \text{Hom}(I_v, D)$.

The inclusion $I^{-1}X \subseteq \text{Int}(I, D)$ implies that $I_v \supseteq \text{cl}_D(I)$.

some considerations about the v -operation

- Every D -homomorphism from I to D can be uniquely extended to a D -homomorphism from K to $K \Rightarrow$ identify $\text{Hom}_D(I, D)$ with the subset of $\text{Hom}_D(K, K)$ mapping I into D
- $I^{-1} \stackrel{\varphi}{\cong} \text{Hom}_D(I, D)$ defined by $\varphi(a)(x) = ax$ for all $a \in I^{-1}$ and $x \in I$ (thus $\text{Hom}_D(I, D) \cong I^{-1}X$)
- $I_v \stackrel{\lambda}{\cong} \text{Hom}_D(\text{Hom}_D(I, D), D)$ defined by $\lambda(x)(f) = f(x)$ for all $x \in I_v$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_D(I, D)$
- $I_v = \{x \in K \mid f(x) \in D, \forall f \in \text{Hom}_D(I, D)\}$
- I_v is the biggest ideal such that $\text{Hom}_D(I, D) = \text{Hom}(I_v, D)$.

The inclusion $I^{-1}X \subseteq \text{Int}(I, D)$ implies that $I_v \supseteq \text{cl}_D(I)$.

some considerations about the v -operation

- Every D -homomorphism from I to D can be uniquely extended to a D -homomorphism from K to $K \Rightarrow$ identify $\text{Hom}_D(I, D)$ with the subset of $\text{Hom}_D(K, K)$ mapping I into D
- $I^{-1} \stackrel{\varphi}{\cong} \text{Hom}_D(I, D)$ defined by $\varphi(a)(x) = ax$ for all $a \in I^{-1}$ and $x \in I$ (thus $\text{Hom}_D(I, D) \cong I^{-1}X$)
- $I_v \stackrel{\lambda}{\cong} \text{Hom}_D(\text{Hom}_D(I, D), D)$ defined by $\lambda(x)(f) = f(x)$ for all $x \in I_v$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_D(I, D)$
- $I_v = \{x \in K \mid f(x) \in D, \forall f \in \text{Hom}_D(I, D)\}$
- I_v is the biggest ideal such that $\text{Hom}_D(I, D) = \text{Hom}(I_v, D)$.

The inclusion $I^{-1}X \subseteq \text{Int}(I, D)$ implies that $I_v \supseteq \text{cl}_D(I)$.

some considerations about the v -operation

- Every D -homomorphism from I to D can be uniquely extended to a D -homomorphism from K to $K \Rightarrow$ identify $\text{Hom}_D(I, D)$ with the subset of $\text{Hom}_D(K, K)$ mapping I into D
- $I^{-1} \stackrel{\varphi}{\cong} \text{Hom}_D(I, D)$ defined by $\varphi(a)(x) = ax$ for all $a \in I^{-1}$ and $x \in I$ (thus $\text{Hom}_D(I, D) \cong I^{-1}X$)
- $I_v \stackrel{\lambda}{\cong} \text{Hom}_D(\text{Hom}_D(I, D), D)$ defined by $\lambda(x)(f) = f(x)$ for all $x \in I_v$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_D(I, D)$
- $I_v = \{x \in K \mid f(x) \in D, \forall f \in \text{Hom}_D(I, D)\}$
- I_v is the biggest ideal such that $\text{Hom}_D(I, D) = \text{Hom}(I_v, D)$.

The inclusion $I^{-1}X \subseteq \text{Int}(I, D)$ implies that $I_v \supseteq \text{cl}_D(I)$.

some considerations about the v -operation

- Every D -homomorphism from I to D can be uniquely extended to a D -homomorphism from K to $K \Rightarrow$ identify $\text{Hom}_D(I, D)$ with the subset of $\text{Hom}_D(K, K)$ mapping I into D
- $I^{-1} \stackrel{\varphi}{\cong} \text{Hom}_D(I, D)$ defined by $\varphi(a)(x) = ax$ for all $a \in I^{-1}$ and $x \in I$ (thus $\text{Hom}_D(I, D) \cong I^{-1}X$)
- $I_v \stackrel{\lambda}{\cong} \text{Hom}_D(\text{Hom}_D(I, D), D)$ defined by $\lambda(x)(f) = f(x)$ for all $x \in I_v$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_D(I, D)$
- $I_v = \{x \in K \mid f(x) \in D, \forall f \in \text{Hom}_D(I, D)\}$
- I_v is the biggest ideal such that $\text{Hom}_D(I, D) = \text{Hom}(I_v, D)$.

The inclusion $I^{-1}X \subseteq \text{Int}(I, D)$ implies that $I_v \supseteq \text{cl}_D(I)$.

some considerations about the v -operation

- Every D -homomorphism from I to D can be uniquely extended to a D -homomorphism from K to $K \Rightarrow$ identify $\text{Hom}_D(I, D)$ with the subset of $\text{Hom}_D(K, K)$ mapping I into D
- $I^{-1} \stackrel{\varphi}{\cong} \text{Hom}_D(I, D)$ defined by $\varphi(a)(x) = ax$ for all $a \in I^{-1}$ and $x \in I$ (thus $\text{Hom}_D(I, D) \cong I^{-1}X$)
- $I_v \stackrel{\lambda}{\cong} \text{Hom}_D(\text{Hom}_D(I, D), D)$ defined by $\lambda(x)(f) = f(x)$ for all $x \in I_v$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_D(I, D)$
- $I_v = \{x \in K \mid f(x) \in D, \forall f \in \text{Hom}_D(I, D)\}$
- I_v is the biggest ideal such that $\text{Hom}_D(I, D) = \text{Hom}(I_v, D)$.

The inclusion $I^{-1}X \subseteq \text{Int}(I, D)$ implies that $I_v \supseteq \text{cl}_D(I)$.

the case $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$

Represent $\text{Int}(I, D)$ when $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$

If $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$ then $\text{Int}(I, D) = \bigcap_{a \in I \setminus \{0\}} D[X/a]$ and so it is the graded ring:

$$\text{Int}(I, D) = D \oplus \left(\bigcap_{u \in I \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{u} D \right) X \oplus \cdots \oplus \left(\bigcap_{u \in I \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{u^n} D \right) X^n \oplus \cdots =$$

We let $I(n)$ denote the D -module generated by the set $\{u^n \mid u \in I\}$ and so $\bigcap_{u \in I \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{u^n} D = (D : I(n)) = I(n)^{-1}$.

the case $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$

Represent $\text{Int}(I, D)$ when $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$

If $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$ then $\text{Int}(I, D) = \bigcap_{a \in I \setminus \{0\}} D[X/a]$ and so it is the graded ring:

$$\text{Int}(I, D) = D \oplus \left(\bigcap_{u \in I \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{u} D \right) X \oplus \cdots \oplus \left(\bigcap_{u \in I \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{u^n} D \right) X^n \oplus \cdots =$$

We let $I(n)$ denote the D -module generated by the set $\{u^n \mid u \in I\}$ and so $\bigcap_{u \in I \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{u^n} D = (D : I(n)) = I(n)^{-1}$.

the ring $D[X/I]$

$$\text{Int}(I, D) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I(n)^{-1} X^n$$

\parallel
 $D[X/I]$

$$\text{Int}(I, D) \supseteq \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I(n)^{-1} X^n = D[X/I]$$

Theorem

Let D be a domain and suppose that $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$. If I is a nonzero fractional ideal of D , then

$$\text{cl}_D(I) = \{z \in K \mid z^n \in I(n)_v, \forall n \geq 0\}.$$

the ring $D[X/I]$

$$\text{Int}(I, D) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I(n)^{-1} X^n$$
$$\parallel$$
$$D[X/I]$$

$$\text{Int}(I, D) \supseteq \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I(n)^{-1} X^n = D[X/I]$$

Theorem

Let D be a domain and suppose that $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$. If I is a nonzero fractional ideal of D , then

$$\text{cl}_D(I) = \{z \in K \mid z^n \in I(n)_v, \forall n \geq 0\}.$$

the ring $D[X/I]$

$$\text{Int}(I, D) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I(n)^{-1} X^n$$

||

$$D[X/I]$$

$$\text{Int}(I, D) \supseteq \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I(n)^{-1} X^n = D[X/I]$$

Theorem

Let D be a domain and suppose that $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$. If I is a nonzero fractional ideal of D , then

$$\text{cl}_D(I) = \{z \in K \mid z^n \in I(n)_v, \forall n \geq 0\}.$$

the ring $D[X/I]$

$$\text{Int}(I, D) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I(n)^{-1} X^n$$

||

$$D[X/I]$$

$$\text{Int}(I, D) \supseteq \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I(n)^{-1} X^n = D[X/I]$$

Theorem

Let D be a domain and suppose that $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$. If I is a nonzero fractional ideal of D , then

$$\text{cl}_D(I) = \{z \in K \mid z^n \in I(n)_v, \forall n \geq 0\}.$$

the operations \star_n, \star_∞

For any integer $n > 0$ we can define the operation

$$\begin{aligned}\star_n: \mathfrak{F}(D) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}(D), \\ I &\longmapsto \{x \in K \mid x^t \in I(t)_v \text{ for all } t \leq n\}\end{aligned}$$

and we can also define the operation

$$\begin{aligned}\star_\infty: \mathfrak{F}(D) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}(D), \\ I &\longmapsto \bigcap \{I^{\star_n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &\quad \{x \in K \mid x^n \in I(n)_v \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition

The \star_n and \star_∞ are star operations on D , and

$$v = \star_1 \geq \star_2 \geq \star_3 \geq \cdots \geq \star_\infty$$

the operations \star_n, \star_∞

For any integer $n > 0$ we can define the operation

$$\begin{aligned}\star_n: \mathfrak{F}(D) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}(D), \\ I &\longmapsto \{x \in K \mid x^t \in I(t)_v \text{ for all } t \leq n\}\end{aligned}$$

and we can also define the operation

$$\begin{aligned}\star_\infty: \mathfrak{F}(D) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}(D), \\ I &\longmapsto \bigcap \{I^{\star_n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &\quad \{x \in K \mid x^n \in I(n)_v \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition

The \star_n and \star_∞ are star operations on D , and

$$v = \star_1 \geq \star_2 \geq \star_3 \geq \cdots \geq \star_\infty$$

the operations \star_n, \star_∞

For any integer $n > 0$ we can define the operation

$$\begin{aligned}\star_n: \mathfrak{F}(D) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}(D), \\ I &\longmapsto \{x \in K \mid x^t \in I(t)_v \text{ for all } t \leq n\}\end{aligned}$$

and we can also define the operation

$$\begin{aligned}\star_\infty: \mathfrak{F}(D) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}(D), \\ I &\longmapsto \bigcap \{I^{\star_n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &\quad \{x \in K \mid x^n \in I(n)_v \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition

The \star_n and \star_∞ are star operations on D , and

$$v = \star_1 \geq \star_2 \geq \star_3 \geq \cdots \geq \star_\infty$$

the operations \star_n, \star_∞

Let $D[X/I]_n := \bigoplus_{t=0}^n I(t)^{-1} X^t$ be the set of polynomials of $D[X/I]$ of degree at most n .

Proposition

- (a) For each $n \geq 1$, $I^{\star n} := \{z \in K \mid f(z) \in D, \forall f \in D[X/I]_n\}$;
- (b) $I^{\star \infty} := \{z \in K \mid f(z) \in D, \forall f \in D[X/I]\}$.

Note that since $D[X/I] \subseteq \text{Int}(I, D)$, then $\text{cl}_D \leq \star_\infty$ and if $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$ then $\star_\infty = \text{cl}_D$.

Then we have the chain:

$$V = \star_1 \geq \star_2 \geq \star_3 \geq \cdots \geq \star_\infty \geq \text{cl}_D$$

the operations \star_n, \star_∞

Let $D[X/I]_n := \bigoplus_{t=0}^n I(t)^{-1} X^t$ be the set of polynomials of $D[X/I]$ of degree at most n .

Proposition

- (a) For each $n \geq 1$, $I^{\star_n} := \{z \in K \mid f(z) \in D, \forall f \in D[X/I]_n\}$;
- (b) $I^{\star_\infty} := \{z \in K \mid f(z) \in D, \forall f \in D[X/I]\}$.

Note that since $D[X/I] \subseteq \text{Int}(I, D)$, then $\text{cl}_D \leq \star_\infty$ and if $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$ then $\star_\infty = \text{cl}_D$.

Then we have the chain:

$$V = \star_1 \geq \star_2 \geq \star_3 \geq \cdots \geq \star_\infty \geq \text{cl}_D$$

the operations \star_n, \star_∞

Let $D[X/I]_n := \bigoplus_{t=0}^n I(t)^{-1}X^t$ be the set of polynomials of $D[X/I]$ of degree at most n .

Proposition

- (a) For each $n \geq 1$, $I^{\star_n} := \{z \in K \mid f(z) \in D, \forall f \in D[X/I]_n\}$;
- (b) $I^{\star_\infty} := \{z \in K \mid f(z) \in D, \forall f \in D[X/I]\}$.

Note that since $D[X/I] \subseteq \text{Int}(I, D)$, then $\text{cl}_D \leq \star_\infty$ and if $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$ then $\star_\infty = \text{cl}_D$.

Then we have the chain:

$$V = \star_1 \geq \star_2 \geq \star_3 \geq \cdots \geq \star_\infty \geq \text{cl}_D$$

the operations \star_n, \star_∞

Let $D[X/I]_n := \bigoplus_{t=0}^n I(t)^{-1}X^t$ be the set of polynomials of $D[X/I]$ of degree at most n .

Proposition

- (a) For each $n \geq 1$, $I^{\star_n} := \{z \in K \mid f(z) \in D, \forall f \in D[X/I]_n\}$;
- (b) $I^{\star_\infty} := \{z \in K \mid f(z) \in D, \forall f \in D[X/I]\}$.

Note that since $D[X/I] \subseteq \text{Int}(I, D)$, then $\text{cl}_D \leq \star_\infty$ and if $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$ then $\star_\infty = \text{cl}_D$.

Then we have the chain:

$$v = \star_1 \geq \star_2 \geq \star_3 \geq \cdots \geq \star_\infty \geq \text{cl}_D$$

Corollary

I^{*n} is the largest set such that $D[X/I]_n = D[X/I^{*n}]_n$ and $I^{*\infty}$ is the largest set such that $D[X/I] = D[X/I^{*\infty}]$.

The above result replicates the fact that the polynomial closure of E is the largest subset of K such that $\text{Int}(E, D) = \text{Int}(\text{cl}_D(E), D)$ and I_v is the biggest ideal such that $\text{Hom}_D(I, D) = \text{Hom}(I_v, D)$.

Corollary

I^{*n} is the largest set such that $D[X/I]_n = D[X/I^{*n}]_n$ and $I^{*\infty}$ is the largest set such that $D[X/I] = D[X/I^{*\infty}]$.

The above result replicates the fact that the polynomial closure of E is the largest subset of K such that $\text{Int}(E, D) = \text{Int}(\text{cl}_D(E), D)$ and I_v is the biggest ideal such that $\text{Hom}_D(I, D) = \text{Hom}(I_v, D)$.

essential domain

M.H. Park - F.T in 2005 proved that when D is an essential domain, then $\star_\infty = v$ and in many subcases of essential domains we have that $\text{cl}_D = v$.

The key-tool to prove this equality is the fact that for any ideal I in an essential domain

$$(\diamond) \quad I(n)_v = (I^n)_v$$

In fact:

- $I^{\star_\infty} = I_v \Leftrightarrow I(n)_v = I_v(n)_v$ (for the maximality of the v -operation)
- it is known that $(I^n)_v = (I_v^n)_v$ for any ideal I in any domain D
- if $I(n)_v = (I^n)_v$, from the above conditions we have that $\star_\infty = v$.

We can obtain the condition (\diamond) when D is integrally closed so generalizing the result obtained for essential domains.

essential domain

M.H. Park - F.T in 2005 proved that when D is an essential domain, then $\star_\infty = v$ and in many subcases of essential domains we have that $\text{cl}_D = v$.

The key-tool to prove this equality is the fact that for any ideal I in an essential domain

$$(\diamond) \quad I(n)_v = (I^n)_v$$

In fact:

- $I^{\star_\infty} = I_v \Leftrightarrow I(n)_v = I_v(n)_v$ (for the maximality of the v -operation)
- it is known that $(I^n)_v = (I_v^n)_v$ for any ideal I in any domain D
- if $I(n)_v = (I^n)_v$, from the above conditions we have that $\star_\infty = v$.

We can obtain the condition (\diamond) when D is integrally closed so generalizing the result obtained for essential domains.

essential domain

M.H. Park - F.T in 2005 proved that when D is an essential domain, then $\star_\infty = v$ and in many subcases of essential domains we have that $\text{cl}_D = v$.

The key-tool to prove this equality is the fact that for any ideal I in an essential domain

$$(\diamond) \quad I(n)_v = (I^n)_v$$

In fact:

- $I^{\star_\infty} = I_v \Leftrightarrow I(n)_v = I_v(n)_v$ (for the maximality of the v -operation)
- it is known that $(I^n)_v = (I_v^n)_v$ for any ideal I in any domain D
- if $I(n)_v = (I^n)_v$, from the above conditions we have that $\star_\infty = v$.

We can obtain the condition (\diamond) when D is integrally closed so generalizing the result obtained for essential domains.

essential domain

M.H. Park - F.T in 2005 proved that when D is an essential domain, then $\star_\infty = v$ and in many subcases of essential domains we have that $\text{cl}_D = v$.

The key-tool to prove this equality is the fact that for any ideal I in an essential domain

$$(\diamond) \quad I(n)_v = (I^n)_v$$

In fact:

- $I^{\star_\infty} = I_v \Leftrightarrow I(n)_v = I_v(n)_v$ (for the maximality of the v -operation)
- it is known that $(I^n)_v = (I_v^n)_v$ for any ideal I in any domain D
- if $I(n)_v = (I^n)_v$, from the above conditions we have that $\star_\infty = v$.

We can obtain the condition (\diamond) when D is integrally closed so generalizing the result obtained for essential domains.

essential domain

M.H. Park - F.T in 2005 proved that when D is an essential domain, then $\star_\infty = v$ and in many subcases of essential domains we have that $\text{cl}_D = v$.

The key-tool to prove this equality is the fact that for any ideal I in an essential domain

$$(\diamond) \quad I(n)_v = (I^n)_v$$

In fact:

- $I^{\star_\infty} = I_v \Leftrightarrow I(n)_v = I_v(n)_v$ (for the maximality of the v -operation)
- it is known that $(I^n)_v = (I_v^n)_v$ for any ideal I in any domain D
- if $I(n)_v = (I^n)_v$, from the above conditions we have that $\star_\infty = v$.

We can obtain the condition (\diamond) when D is integrally closed so generalizing the result obtained for essential domains.

essential domain

M.H. Park - F.T in 2005 proved that when D is an essential domain, then $\star_\infty = v$ and in many subcases of essential domains we have that $\text{cl}_D = v$.

The key-tool to prove this equality is the fact that for any ideal I in an essential domain

$$(\diamond) \quad I(n)_v = (I^n)_v$$

In fact:

- $I^{\star_\infty} = I_v \Leftrightarrow I(n)_v = I_v(n)_v$ (for the maximality of the v -operation)
- it is known that $(I^n)_v = (I_v^n)_v$ for any ideal I in any domain D
- if $I(n)_v = (I^n)_v$, from the above conditions we have that $\star_\infty = v$.

We can obtain the condition (\diamond) when D is integrally closed so generalizing the result obtained for essential domains.

integrally closed domain

Theorem

Let D be an integrally closed domain. Then $\star_\infty = v$.

tools

- if $I(n)^\star = (I^n)^\star$ for a star operation \star then $\star_\infty = v$. Indeed, for the maximality of the v -operation we have that $I(n)^\star = (I^n)^\star \Rightarrow I(n)_v = (I^n)_v$.
- we take the b -operation - $I^b = \bigcap \{IV \mid D \subseteq V \in K\}$ - and show that $I(n)^b = (I^n)^b$ for every ideal I and integer n (it is enough to show that $I(n)V = I^nV$ for all valuation overrings V).

integrally closed domain

Theorem

Let D be an integrally closed domain. Then $\star_\infty = v$.

tools

- if $I(n)^\star = (I^n)^\star$ for a star operation \star then $\star_\infty = v$. Indeed, for the maximality of the v -operation we have that $I(n)^\star = (I^n)^\star \Rightarrow I(n)_v = (I^n)_v$.
- we take the b -operation - $I^b = \bigcap \{IV \mid D \subseteq V \in K\}$ - and show that $I(n)^b = (I^n)^b$ for every ideal I and integer n (it is enough to show that $I(n)V = I^nV$ for all valuation overrings V).

integrally closed domain

Theorem

Let D be an integrally closed domain. Then $\star_\infty = v$.

tools

- if $I(n)^\star = (I^n)^\star$ for a star operation \star then $\star_\infty = v$. Indeed, for the maximality of the v -operation we have that $I(n)^\star = (I^n)^\star \Rightarrow I(n)_v = (I^n)_v$.
- we take the b -operation - $I^b = \bigcap \{IV \mid D \subseteq V \in K\}$ - and show that $I(n)^b = (I^n)^b$ for every ideal I and integer n (it is enough to show that $I(n)V = I^nV$ for all valuation overrings V).

characteristic 0

Consider the case $\mathbb{Q} \subset D$. This is equivalent to ask that the residue fields are of characteristic 0 and so $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$. Claim

$$\triangleright I(n) = I^n$$

Lemma W

Let X, Y be indeterminates over \mathbb{Q} . For every n , the sets $\{X^n, (X+1)^n, (X+2)^n, \dots, (X+n)^n\}$ and $\{X^n, (X+Y)^n, (X+2Y)^n, \dots, (X+nY)^n\}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

characteristic 0

Consider the case $\mathbb{Q} \subset D$. This is equivalent to ask that the residue fields are of characteristic 0 and so $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$. Claim

$$\triangleright I(n) = I^n$$

Lemma W

Let X, Y be indeterminates over \mathbb{Q} . For every n , the sets $\{X^n, (X+1)^n, (X+2)^n, \dots, (X+n)^n\}$ and $\{X^n, (X+Y)^n, (X+2Y)^n, \dots, (X+nY)^n\}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

characteristic 0

Consider the case $\mathbb{Q} \subset D$. This is equivalent to ask that the residue fields are of characteristic 0 and so $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$. Claim

$$\triangleright I(n) = I^n$$

Lemma W

Let X, Y be indeterminates over \mathbb{Q} . For every n , the sets $\{X^n, (X+1)^n, (X+2)^n, \dots, (X+n)^n\}$ and $\{X^n, (X+Y)^n, (X+2Y)^n, \dots, (X+nY)^n\}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

Proposition

Let D be an integral domain with $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq D$ and $I \in \mathfrak{F}(D)$. Then, $I(n) = I^n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Sketch of the proof It is enough to show that $I \cdot I(n-1) = I(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$: indeed, if this equality is true, then $I(n) = I \cdot I(n-1) = I^2 \cdot I(n-2) = \dots = I^{n-1} \cdot I(1) = I^{n-1} \cdot I = I^n$. The containment $I(n) \subseteq I \cdot I(n-1)$ is obvious. For the reverse containment, $I \cdot I(n-1) = \langle xy^{n-1}, x, y \in I \rangle$ and we show that the elements xy^{n-1} are in $I(n)$ by dimension considerations based on Lemma W.

Proposition

Let D be an integral domain with $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq D$ and $I \in \mathfrak{F}(D)$. Then, $I(n) = I^n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Sketch of the proof It is enough to show that $I \cdot I(n-1) = I(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$: indeed, if this equality is true, then $I(n) = I \cdot I(n-1) = I^2 \cdot I(n-2) = \dots = I^{n-1} \cdot I(1) = I^{n-1} \cdot I = I^n$. The containment $I(n) \subseteq I \cdot I(n-1)$ is obvious. For the reverse containment, $I \cdot I(n-1) = \langle xy^{n-1}, x, y \in I \rangle$ and we show that the elements xy^{n-1} are in $I(n)$ by dimension considerations based on Lemma W.

Proposition

Let D be an integral domain with $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq D$ and $I \in \mathfrak{F}(D)$. Then, $I(n) = I^n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Sketch of the proof It is enough to show that $I \cdot I(n-1) = I(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$: indeed, if this equality is true, then $I(n) = I \cdot I(n-1) = I^2 \cdot I(n-2) = \dots = I^{n-1} \cdot I(1) = I^{n-1} \cdot I = I^n$. The containment $I(n) \subseteq I \cdot I(n-1)$ is obvious.

For the reverse containment, $I \cdot I(n-1) = \langle xy^{n-1}, x, y \in I \rangle$ and we show that the elements xy^{n-1} are in $I(n)$ by dimension considerations based on Lemma W.

Proposition

Let D be an integral domain with $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq D$ and $I \in \mathfrak{F}(D)$. Then, $I(n) = I^n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Sketch of the proof It is enough to show that $I \cdot I(n-1) = I(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$: indeed, if this equality is true, then $I(n) = I \cdot I(n-1) = I^2 \cdot I(n-2) = \dots = I^{n-1} \cdot I(1) = I^{n-1} \cdot I = I^n$. The containment $I(n) \subseteq I \cdot I(n-1)$ is obvious. For the reverse containment, $I \cdot I(n-1) = \langle xy^{n-1}, x, y \in I \rangle$ and we show that the elements xy^{n-1} are in $I(n)$ by dimension considerations based on Lemma W.

characteristic 0

The Proposition above does not hold in general for rings of characteristic 0 not containing \mathbb{Q} . For example, if $D = \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ and $I = (X, Y)$, then $XY \in I^2$ but $XY \notin I(2)$.

Theorem

Let D be a domain such that D/\mathfrak{m} has characteristic 0, for each maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Then $\star_\infty = \text{cl}_D = v$.

The previous result holds for polynomial rings of fields of characteristic 0 like $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ or $\mathbb{R}[X]$.

characteristic 0

The Proposition above does not hold in general for rings of characteristic 0 not containing \mathbb{Q} . For example, if $D = \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ and $I = (X, Y)$, then $XY \in I^2$ but $XY \notin I(2)$.

Theorem

Let D be a domain such that D/\mathfrak{m} has characteristic 0, for each maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Then $\star_\infty = \text{cl}_D = v$.

The previous result holds for polynomial rings of fields of characteristic 0 like $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ or $\mathbb{R}[X]$.

characteristic 0

The Proposition above does not hold in general for rings of characteristic 0 not containing \mathbb{Q} . For example, if $D = \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ and $I = (X, Y)$, then $XY \in I^2$ but $XY \notin I(2)$.

Theorem

Let D be a domain such that D/\mathfrak{m} has characteristic 0, for each maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Then $\star_\infty = \text{cl}_D = v$.

The previous result holds for polynomial rings of fields of characteristic 0 like $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ or $\mathbb{R}[X]$.

characteristic p

$$v = \star_1 \geq \star_2 \geq \star_3 \geq \cdots \geq \star_\infty \geq \text{cl}_D$$

In characteristic p it is not always true that all the \star_n are equal.

Example Let $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$ be a tower of purely inseparable extension of degree p , with $L = F(y)$ simple over F . Consider

$$D := F + XL[[X]], \quad I := K + XL[[X]]$$

then, $I^{\star_1} = I_v = L[[X]]$. On the other hand, $I(p) = K(p) + XL[[X]] = K^p + XL[[X]] = D$, and thus $I(p)_v = D$; therefore, $y^p \notin I(p)$ since $y^p \notin F$. It follows that $I^{\star_p} \neq L[[X]]$ and thus $\star_p \neq \star_1$.

The main difference from the previous case is that Lemma W does not hold (the determinant of the Wronskian matrix in the proof of the Lemma must be nonzero - it may be equal to a multiple of p).

characteristic p

$$v = \star_1 \geq \star_2 \geq \star_3 \geq \cdots \geq \star_\infty \geq \text{cl}_D$$

In characteristic p it is not always true that all the \star_n are equal.

Example Let $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$ be a tower of purely inseparable extension of degree p , with $L = F(y)$ simple over F . Consider

$$D := F + XL[[X]], \quad I := K + XL[[X]]$$

then, $I^{\star_1} = I_v = L[[X]]$. On the other hand, $I(p) = K(p) + XL[[X]] = K^p + XL[[X]] = D$, and thus $I(p)_v = D$; therefore, $y^p \notin I(p)$ since $y^p \notin F$. It follows that $I^{\star_p} \neq L[[X]]$ and thus $\star_p \neq \star_1$.

The main difference from the previous case is that Lemma W does not hold (the determinant of the Wronskian matrix in the proof of the Lemma must be nonzero - it may be equal to a multiple of p).

characteristic p

$$v = \star_1 \geq \star_2 \geq \star_3 \geq \cdots \geq \star_\infty \geq \text{cl}_D$$

In characteristic p it is not always true that all the \star_n are equal.

Example Let $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$ be a tower of purely inseparable extension of degree p , with $L = F(y)$ simple over F . Consider

$$D := F + XL[[X]], \quad I := K + XL[[X]]$$

then, $I^{\star_1} = I_v = L[[X]]$. On the other hand, $I(p) = K(p) + XL[[X]] = K^p + XL[[X]] = D$, and thus $I(p)_v = D$; therefore, $y^p \notin I(p)$ since $y^p \notin F$. It follows that $I^{\star_p} \neq L[[X]]$ and thus $\star_p \neq \star_1$.

The main difference from the previous case is that Lemma W does not hold (the determinant of the Wronskian matrix in the proof of the Lemma must be nonzero - it may be equal to a multiple of p).

characteristic p

$$v = \star_1 \geq \star_2 \geq \star_3 \geq \cdots \geq \star_\infty \geq \text{cl}_D$$

In characteristic p it is not always true that all the \star_n are equal.

Example Let $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$ be a tower of purely inseparable extension of degree p , with $L = F(y)$ simple over F . Consider

$$D := F + XL[[X]], \quad I := K + XL[[X]]$$

then, $I^{\star_1} = I_v = L[[X]]$. On the other hand, $I(p) = K(p) + XL[[X]] = K^p + XL[[X]] = D$, and thus $I(p)_v = D$; therefore, $y^p \notin I(p)$ since $y^p \notin F$. It follows that $I^{\star_p} \neq L[[X]]$ and thus $\star_p \neq \star_1$.

The main difference from the previous case is that Lemma W does not hold (the determinant of the Wronskian matrix in the proof of the Lemma must be nonzero - it may be equal to a multiple of p).

characteristic p

Proposition

Let D be a ring of characteristic p containing an infinite field. Let $n = t_0 + t_1p + \cdots + t_kp^k$, with $0 \leq t_i < p$ for every i . Then,

$$I(n) = I^{t_0} \cdot I(p)^{t_1} \cdot I(p^2)^{t_2} \cdots I(p^k)^{t_k}.$$

Corollary

Let D be a ring of characteristic p containing an infinite field. Then $\text{cl}_D(I) = I^{*\infty} = \{x \in K \mid x^{p^e} \in I(p^e)_v \text{ for every } e \geq 0\}$.

Proposition

Let D be an integral domain and n a positive integer. Suppose that every element of D has an n -th root in D . We have that if $x \in I_v$, then $x^n \in I(n)_v$.

characteristic p

Proposition

Let D be a ring of characteristic p containing an infinite field. Let $n = t_0 + t_1 p + \cdots + t_k p^k$, with $0 \leq t_i < p$ for every i . Then,

$$I(n) = I^{t_0} \cdot I(p)^{t_1} \cdot I(p^2)^{t_2} \cdots I(p^k)^{t_k}.$$

Corollary

Let D be a ring of characteristic p containing an infinite field. Then $\text{cl}_D(I) = I^{*\infty} = \{x \in K \mid x^{p^e} \in I(p^e)_v \text{ for every } e \geq 0\}$.

Proposition

Let D be an integral domain and n a positive integer. Suppose that every element of D has an n -th root in D . We have that if $x \in I_v$, then $x^n \in I(n)_v$.

characteristic p

Proposition

Let D be a ring of characteristic p containing an infinite field. Let $n = t_0 + t_1p + \cdots + t_kp^k$, with $0 \leq t_i < p$ for every i . Then,

$$I(n) = I^{t_0} \cdot I(p)^{t_1} \cdot I(p^2)^{t_2} \cdots I(p^k)^{t_k}.$$

Corollary

Let D be a ring of characteristic p containing an infinite field. Then $\text{cl}_D(I) = I^{*\infty} = \{x \in K \mid x^{p^e} \in I(p^e)_v \text{ for every } e \geq 0\}$.

Proposition

Let D be an integral domain and n a positive integer. Suppose that every element of D has an n -th root in D . We have that if $x \in I_v$, then $x^n \in I(n)_v$.

characteristic p

If D has characteristic p we can put some extra hypothesis to get that $\text{cl}_D = \star_\infty = v$:

- (1) D contains an infinite field;
- (2) D contains a p -th root of every element $a \in D$.

(1) implies that $\text{cl}_D = \star_\infty$ and that $I^{\star_\infty} = \{x \in K \mid x^{p^e} \in I(p^e)_v \text{ for every } e \geq 0\}$;

(2) is necessary to prove that if $x \in I_v$, then $x^{p^e} \in I(p^e)_v$.

characteristic p

If D has characteristic p we can put some extra hypothesis to get that $\text{cl}_D = \star_\infty = v$:

- (1) D contains an infinite field;
- (2) D contains a p -th root of every element $a \in D$.

(1) implies that $\text{cl}_D = \star_\infty$ and that $I^{\star_\infty} = \{x \in K \mid x^{p^e} \in I(p^e)_v \text{ for every } e \geq 0\}$;

(2) is necessary to prove that if $x \in I_v$, then $x^{p^e} \in I(p^e)_v$.

characteristic p

If D has characteristic p we can put some extra hypothesis to get that $\text{cl}_D = \star_\infty = v$:

- (1) D contains an infinite field;
- (2) D contains a p -th root of every element $a \in D$.

(1) implies that $\text{cl}_D = \star_\infty$ and that $I^{\star_\infty} = \{x \in K \mid x^{p^e} \in I(p^e)_v \text{ for every } e \geq 0\}$;

(2) is necessary to prove that if $x \in I_v$, then $x^{p^e} \in I(p^e)_v$.

characteristic p

If D has characteristic p we can put some extra hypothesis to get that $\text{cl}_D = \star_\infty = v$:

- (1) D contains an infinite field;
- (2) D contains a p -th root of every element $a \in D$.

(1) implies that $\text{cl}_D = \star_\infty$ and that $I^{\star_\infty} = \{x \in K \mid x^{p^e} \in I(p^e)_v \text{ for every } e \geq 0\}$;

(2) is necessary to prove that if $x \in I_v$, then $x^{p^e} \in I(p^e)_v$.

Theorem

Let D be an integral domain of characteristic p that contains an infinite field and such that every element of D has a p -th root in D . Then, $\text{cl}_D = v$.

Example Let F be a perfect infinite field, and let L be an algebraic extension of F . Consider the ring

$$D := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} (F + X^{1/p^n} L[[X^{1/p^n}]])$$

D contains an infinite field (F) and every element has a p -th root.

Indeed, if $x \in D$ then $x \in F + X^{1/p^n} L[[X^{1/p^n}]]$ for some n and we can write $x = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i X^{i/p^n}$ with $a_0 \in F$ and $a_i \in L$ for all $i > 0$. Since both F and L are perfect, there are $b_0 \in F$ and $b_i \in L$ (for $i > 0$) such that $b_j^p = a_j$ for all j . Setting $y := \sum b_i X^{i/p^{n+1}}$, then $y \in D$ and $y^p = x$.

Theorem

Let D be an integral domain of characteristic p that contains an infinite field and such that every element of D has a p -th root in D . Then, $\text{cl}_D = v$.

Example Let F be a perfect infinite field, and let L be an algebraic extension of F . Consider the ring

$$D := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} (F + X^{1/p^n} L[[X^{1/p^n}]])$$

D contains an infinite field (F) and every element has a p -th root.

Indeed, if $x \in D$ then $x \in F + X^{1/p^n} L[[X^{1/p^n}]]$ for some n and we can write $x = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i X^{i/p^n}$ with $a_0 \in F$ and $a_i \in L$ for all $i > 0$. Since both F and L are perfect, there are $b_0 \in F$ and $b_i \in L$ (for $i > 0$) such that $b_j^p = a_j$ for all j . Setting $y := \sum b_i X^{i/p^{n+1}}$, then $y \in D$ and $y^p = x$.

Some papers

- **D.L. McQuillan**, *On a theorem of R. Gilmer*, J. Number Theory 39 (1991), 245–250.
- **P.-J. Cahen**, *Polynomial closure*, J. Number Theory **61** (2) (1996), 226–247.
- **S. Frisch**, *Substitution and closure of sets under integer-valued polynomials*, J. Number Theory 33 (1996), 396–403.
- **M. Fontana, L. Izelgue, S. Kabbaj, F.T.**, *Polynomial closure in essential domains and pullbacks*, Advances in Commutative Ring theory, Lecture Notes Pure Appl. Math., Marcel Dekker, 205 (2000), 307–321.
- **Mi Hee Park, F.T.**, *Polynomial closure in essential domains*, Manuscripta Math., 117(1) (2005), 29–41.

Thank you!