Integer-Valued Polynomials on Semidomains

Harold Polo

University of California, Irvine

(joint work with Scott Chapman and Nathan Kaplan)

AMS-UMI International Joint Meeting 2024 Università degli Studi di Palermo, Palermo, Italy

July 24, 2024

- 1. Background: monoids and semidomains
- 2. Construction
- 3. Factorization properties

Throughout this talk, a monoid M is a semigroup that is cancellative and commutative.

Throughout this talk, a monoid M is a semigroup that is cancellative and commutative.

We denote by M^{\times} the set of units of M, and it is said that M is a group if $M = M^{\times}$. Given a monoid M, we denote by $\mathcal{G}(M)$ the quotient group of M.

Examples: $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_{>0}, \times) = (\mathbb{Q}_{>0}, \times); \qquad \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{N}_0, +) = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$

Throughout this talk, a monoid M is a semigroup that is cancellative and commutative.

We denote by M^{\times} the set of units of M, and it is said that M is a group if $M = M^{\times}$. Given a monoid M, we denote by $\mathcal{G}(M)$ the quotient group of M. Examples: $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_{>0}, \times) = (\mathbb{Q}_{>0}, \times);$ $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{N}_0, +) = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$

An element $a \in M \setminus M^{\times}$ is called an atom if a = bc for some $b, c \in M$ implies that either $b \in M^{\times}$ or $c \in M^{\times}$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}(M)$ the set of atoms of M. A monoid M is atomic provided that every $b \in M \setminus M^{\times}$ can be expressed as a finite product of atoms.

Let M be an atomic monoid, and let $b \in M \setminus M^{\times}$.

• We let Z(b) denote the set of all factorizations of b, and we set $L(b) := \{|z| : z \in Z(b)\}$.

- We let Z(b) denote the set of all factorizations of b, and we set $L(b) := \{|z| : z \in Z(b)\}$.
- *M* is a unique factorization monoid (or a <u>UFM</u>) if |Z(c)| = 1 for all $c \in M \setminus M^{\times}$.

- We let Z(b) denote the set of all factorizations of b, and we set $L(b) := \{|z| : z \in Z(b)\}$.
- *M* is a unique factorization monoid (or a <u>UFM</u>) if |Z(c)| = 1 for all $c \in M \setminus M^{\times}$.
- *M* is a finite factorization monoid (or an FFM) if Z(c) is finite for all $c \in M \setminus M^{\times}$.

- We let Z(b) denote the set of all factorizations of b, and we set $L(b) := \{|z| : z \in Z(b)\}$.
- *M* is a unique factorization monoid (or a <u>UFM</u>) if |Z(c)| = 1 for all $c \in M \setminus M^{\times}$.
- *M* is a finite factorization monoid (or an FFM) if Z(c) is finite for all $c \in M \setminus M^{\times}$.
- *M* is a bounded factorization monoid (or a BFM) if L(c) is finite for all $c \in M \setminus M^{\times}$.

- We let Z(b) denote the set of all factorizations of b, and we set $L(b) := \{|z| : z \in Z(b)\}$.
- *M* is a unique factorization monoid (or a <u>UFM</u>) if |Z(c)| = 1 for all $c \in M \setminus M^{\times}$.
- *M* is a finite factorization monoid (or an FFM) if Z(c) is finite for all $c \in M \setminus M^{\times}$.
- *M* is a bounded factorization monoid (or a BFM) if L(c) is finite for all $c \in M \setminus M^{\times}$.
- *M* satisfies the ACCP if every ascending sequence of ideals eventually stabilizes.

Let M be an atomic monoid, and let $b \in M \setminus M^{\times}$.

- We let Z(b) denote the set of all factorizations of b, and we set $L(b) := \{|z| : z \in Z(b)\}$.
- *M* is a unique factorization monoid (or a <u>UFM</u>) if |Z(c)| = 1 for all $c \in M \setminus M^{\times}$.
- *M* is a finite factorization monoid (or an FFM) if Z(c) is finite for all $c \in M \setminus M^{\times}$.
- *M* is a bounded factorization monoid (or a BFM) if L(c) is finite for all $c \in M \setminus M^{\times}$.
- *M* satisfies the ACCP if every ascending sequence of ideals eventually stabilizes.

 $\mathsf{UFM} \implies \mathsf{FFM} \implies \mathsf{BFM} \implies \mathsf{ACCP} \implies \mathsf{atomic}$

Definition. A commutative semiring S is a (nonempty) set endowed with two binary operations denoted by +' and +' and called addition and multiplication, respectively, such that the following conditions hold:

1. (S, +) is a commutative monoid with its identity element denoted by 0;

2. (S, \cdot) is a commutative semigroup with an identity element denoted by 1;

3. $b \cdot (c+d) = b \cdot c + b \cdot d$ for all $b, c, d \in S$.

Definition. A commutative semiring S is a (nonempty) set endowed with two binary operations denoted by +' and +' and called addition and multiplication, respectively, such that the following conditions hold:

1. (S, +) is a commutative monoid with its identity element denoted by 0;

2. (S, \cdot) is a commutative semigroup with an identity element denoted by 1;

3. $b \cdot (c+d) = b \cdot c + b \cdot d$ for all $b, c, d \in S$.

Definition. A semidomain is a subsemiring of an integral domain. Examples: integral domains, Puiseux semirings, \mathbb{N}_0 , \mathbb{R}_0 , $\mathbb{N}_0[X]$, $\mathbb{R}_0[X]$

Background: Semidomains

Given a semidomain S, we denote by $\mathcal{G}(S)$ the quotient group of (S, +) and by $\mathcal{F}(S)$ the field of fractions of $\mathcal{G}(S)$.

Given a semidomain S, we denote by $\mathcal{G}(S)$ the quotient group of (S, +) and by $\mathcal{F}(S)$ the field of fractions of $\mathcal{G}(S)$.

Proposition(Gotti-P., 2022)

For a commutative semiring S, the following conditions are equivalent.

(a) S is a semidomain.

(b) The multiplication of S extends to $\mathcal{G}(S)$ turning $\mathcal{G}(S)$ into an integral domain.

Given a semidomain S, we denote by $\mathcal{G}(S)$ the quotient group of (S, +) and by $\mathcal{F}(S)$ the field of fractions of $\mathcal{G}(S)$.

Proposition(Gotti-P., 2022)

For a commutative semiring S, the following conditions are equivalent.

(a) S is a semidomain.

(b) The multiplication of S extends to $\mathcal{G}(S)$ turning $\mathcal{G}(S)$ into an integral domain.

We say that a semidomain S is atomic (resp., satisfies the ACCP) if its multiplicative monoid S^* is atomic (resp., satisfies the ACCP).

Given a semidomain S, we denote by $\mathcal{G}(S)$ the quotient group of (S, +) and by $\mathcal{F}(S)$ the field of fractions of $\mathcal{G}(S)$.

Proposition(Gotti-P., 2022)

For a commutative semiring S, the following conditions are equivalent.

(a) S is a semidomain.

(b) The multiplication of S extends to $\mathcal{G}(S)$ turning $\mathcal{G}(S)$ into an integral domain.

We say that a semidomain S is atomic (resp., satisfies the ACCP) if its multiplicative monoid S^* is atomic (resp., satisfies the ACCP). In addition, we say that S is a UFS, FFS, or BFS provided that S^* is a UFM, FFM, or BFM, respectively.

Definition. Let S be a semidomain. We set $Int(S) := \{f \in \mathcal{F}(S)[X] \mid f(S) \subseteq S\}$ and call the elements of Int(S) integer-valued polynomials on S. If $S = \mathbb{N}_0$, then we refer to the elements of Int(S) as natural-valued polynomials.

Definition. Let S be a semidomain. We set $Int(S) := \{f \in \mathcal{F}(S)[X] \mid f(S) \subseteq S\}$ and call the elements of Int(S) integer-valued polynomials on S. If $S = \mathbb{N}_0$, then we refer to the elements of Int(S) as natural-valued polynomials.

Remark: Note that $S \subseteq S[x] \subseteq Int(S) \subseteq Int(\mathcal{G}(S))$, implying Int(S) is a semidomain.

Definition. Let S be a semidomain. We set $Int(S) := \{f \in \mathcal{F}(S)[X] \mid f(S) \subseteq S\}$ and call the elements of Int(S) integer-valued polynomials on S. If $S = \mathbb{N}_0$, then we refer to the elements of Int(S) as natural-valued polynomials.

Remark: Note that $S \subseteq S[x] \subseteq Int(S) \subseteq Int(\mathcal{G}(S))$, implying Int(S) is a semidomain.

A couple of examples of integer-valued polynomials on semidomains: 1. $Int(\mathbb{R}_0) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[X] \mid f \text{ has no positive real roots of odd multiplicity}\}.$

Definition. Let S be a semidomain. We set $Int(S) := \{f \in \mathcal{F}(S)[X] \mid f(S) \subseteq S\}$ and call the elements of Int(S) integer-valued polynomials on S. If $S = \mathbb{N}_0$, then we refer to the elements of Int(S) as natural-valued polynomials.

Remark: Note that $S \subseteq S[x] \subseteq Int(S) \subseteq Int(\mathcal{G}(S))$, implying Int(S) is a semidomain.

A couple of examples of integer-valued polynomials on semidomains:

1. $Int(\mathbb{R}_0) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[X] \mid f \text{ has no positive real roots of odd multiplicity}\}$. This means that $Int(\mathbb{R}_0) \neq \mathbb{R}_0[X]$.

Definition. Let S be a semidomain. We set $Int(S) := \{f \in \mathcal{F}(S)[X] \mid f(S) \subseteq S\}$ and call the elements of Int(S) integer-valued polynomials on S. If $S = \mathbb{N}_0$, then we refer to the elements of Int(S) as natural-valued polynomials.

Remark: Note that $S \subseteq S[x] \subseteq Int(S) \subseteq Int(\mathcal{G}(S))$, implying Int(S) is a semidomain.

A couple of examples of integer-valued polynomials on semidomains:

1. $Int(\mathbb{R}_0) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[X] \mid f \text{ has no positive real roots of odd multiplicity}\}$. This means that $Int(\mathbb{R}_0) \neq \mathbb{R}_0[X]$. In fact, the semidomain $Int(\mathbb{R}_0)$ is a UFS!

Definition. Let S be a semidomain. We set $Int(S) := \{f \in \mathcal{F}(S)[X] \mid f(S) \subseteq S\}$ and call the elements of Int(S) integer-valued polynomials on S. If $S = \mathbb{N}_0$, then we refer to the elements of Int(S) as natural-valued polynomials.

Remark: Note that $S \subseteq S[x] \subseteq Int(S) \subseteq Int(\mathcal{G}(S))$, implying Int(S) is a semidomain.

A couple of examples of integer-valued polynomials on semidomains:

- 1. $Int(\mathbb{R}_0) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[X] \mid f \text{ has no positive real roots of odd multiplicity}\}$. This means that $Int(\mathbb{R}_0) \neq \mathbb{R}_0[X]$. In fact, the semidomain $Int(\mathbb{R}_0)$ is a UFS!
- 2. $\mathcal{G}(Int(\mathbb{N}_0)) = Int(\mathbb{Z}).$

Definition. Let S be a semidomain. We set $Int(S) := \{f \in \mathcal{F}(S)[X] \mid f(S) \subseteq S\}$ and call the elements of Int(S) integer-valued polynomials on S. If $S = \mathbb{N}_0$, then we refer to the elements of Int(S) as natural-valued polynomials.

Remark: Note that $S \subseteq S[x] \subseteq Int(S) \subseteq Int(\mathcal{G}(S))$, implying Int(S) is a semidomain.

A couple of examples of integer-valued polynomials on semidomains:

- 1. $Int(\mathbb{R}_0) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[X] \mid f \text{ has no positive real roots of odd multiplicity}\}$. This means that $Int(\mathbb{R}_0) \neq \mathbb{R}_0[X]$. In fact, the semidomain $Int(\mathbb{R}_0)$ is a UFS!
- 2. $\mathcal{G}(\operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{N}_0)) = \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$. *Proof:* Let $f \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$. We can write $f = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i {X \choose n_i}$, where $c_0, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus,

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^{m} c_{t_i} \binom{X}{n_{t_i}} - \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} c_{r_j} \binom{X}{n_{r_j}},$$

where c_{t_i} and c_{r_j} are positive integers for every $i \in [0, m]$ and every $j \in [0, \ell]$.

Definition. Let S be a semidomain. We set $Int(S) := \{f \in \mathcal{F}(S)[X] \mid f(S) \subseteq S\}$ and call the elements of Int(S) integer-valued polynomials on S. If $S = \mathbb{N}_0$, then we refer to the elements of Int(S) as natural-valued polynomials.

Remark: Note that $S \subseteq S[x] \subseteq Int(S) \subseteq Int(\mathcal{G}(S))$, implying Int(S) is a semidomain.

A couple of examples of integer-valued polynomials on semidomains:

1. $Int(\mathbb{R}_0) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[X] \mid f \text{ has no positive real roots of odd multiplicity}\}$. This means that $Int(\mathbb{R}_0) \neq \mathbb{R}_0[X]$. In fact, the semidomain $Int(\mathbb{R}_0)$ is a UFS!

2.
$$\mathcal{G}(\operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{N}_0)) = \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$$
. Is $\operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{N}_0) = \mathbb{N}_0[X]$?

Definition. Let S be a semidomain. We set $Int(S) := \{f \in \mathcal{F}(S)[X] \mid f(S) \subseteq S\}$ and call the elements of Int(S) integer-valued polynomials on S. If $S = \mathbb{N}_0$, then we refer to the elements of Int(S) as natural-valued polynomials.

Remark: Note that $S \subseteq S[x] \subseteq Int(S) \subseteq Int(\mathcal{G}(S))$, implying Int(S) is a semidomain.

A couple of examples of integer-valued polynomials on semidomains:

1. $Int(\mathbb{R}_0) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[X] \mid f \text{ has no positive real roots of odd multiplicity}\}$. This means that $Int(\mathbb{R}_0) \neq \mathbb{R}_0[X]$. In fact, the semidomain $Int(\mathbb{R}_0)$ is a UFS!

2.
$$\mathcal{G}(\operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{N}_0)) = \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$$
. Is $\operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{N}_0) = \mathbb{N}_0[X]$?

Proposition (Chapman-Kaplan-P., 202?)

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $f \in Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$ be a random polynomial of degree at most d. The probability that f is in $\mathbb{N}_0[X]$ is $\frac{1}{d!(d-1)!\cdots 2!}$.

Theorem (Chapman-Kaplan-P., 202?)

Let S be a semidomain. The following statements hold.

- 1. Int(S) satisfies the ACCP if and only if S satisfies the ACCP.
- 2. Int(S) is a BFS if and only if S is a BFS.
- 3. Int(S) is an FFS if and only if S is an FFS.

Theorem (Chapman-Kaplan-P., 202?)

Let S be a semidomain. The following statements hold.

- 1. Int(S) satisfies the ACCP if and only if S satisfies the ACCP.
- 2. Int(S) is a BFS if and only if S is a BFS.
- 3. Int(S) is an FFS if and only if S is an FFS.

Is Int(S) a UFS when S is a UFS?

Given an atomic monoid M, the elasticity of a nonunit $b \in M$, denoted by $\rho(b)$, is defined as $\rho(b) = \frac{\sup L(b)}{\inf L(b)}$. By convention, we set $\rho(u) = 1$ for every $u \in M^{\times}$.

Given an atomic monoid M, the elasticity of a nonunit $b \in M$, denoted by $\rho(b)$, is defined as $\rho(b) = \frac{\sup L(b)}{\inf L(b)}$. By convention, we set $\rho(u) = 1$ for every $u \in M^{\times}$. In addition, the elasticity of the whole monoid M is defined to be $\rho(M) := \sup \{\rho(b) \mid b \in M\}$.

Given an atomic monoid M, the elasticity of a nonunit $b \in M$, denoted by $\rho(b)$, is defined as $\rho(b) = \frac{\sup L(b)}{\inf L(b)}$. By convention, we set $\rho(u) = 1$ for every $u \in M^{\times}$. In addition, the elasticity of the whole monoid M is defined to be $\rho(M) := \sup \{\rho(b) \mid b \in M\}$. The set of elasticities of M is $R(M) := \{\rho(b) \mid b \in M\}$, and M is said to have full elasticity provided that $R(M) = (\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}) \cap [1, \rho(M)]$.

Given an atomic monoid M, the elasticity of a nonunit $b \in M$, denoted by $\rho(b)$, is defined as $\rho(b) = \frac{\sup L(b)}{\inf L(b)}$. By convention, we set $\rho(u) = 1$ for every $u \in M^{\times}$. In addition, the elasticity of the whole monoid M is defined to be $\rho(M) := \sup \{\rho(b) \mid b \in M\}$. The set of elasticities of M is $R(M) := \{\rho(b) \mid b \in M\}$, and M is said to have full elasticity provided that $R(M) = (\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}) \cap [1, \rho(M)]$.

Proposition(Chapman-Kaplan-P., 202?)

The elasticity of $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$ is infinite.

Given an atomic monoid M, the elasticity of a nonunit $b \in M$, denoted by $\rho(b)$, is defined as $\rho(b) = \frac{\sup L(b)}{\inf L(b)}$. By convention, we set $\rho(u) = 1$ for every $u \in M^{\times}$. In addition, the elasticity of the whole monoid M is defined to be $\rho(M) := \sup \{\rho(b) \mid b \in M\}$. The set of elasticities of M is $R(M) := \{\rho(b) \mid b \in M\}$, and M is said to have full elasticity provided that $R(M) = (\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}) \cap [1, \rho(M)]$.

Proposition(Chapman-Kaplan-P., 202?)

The elasticity of $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$ is infinite.

It is known that $Int(\mathbb{Z})$ is fully elastic, so we pose the following question.

Open Question

Is $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$ fully elastic?

- 1. P. J. Cahen and J. L. Chabert: *Elasticity for integer-valued polynomials*, J. Pure Appl. Math **103** (1995) 303–311.
- 2. S. T. Chapman and B. A. McClain: *Irreducible polynomials and full elasticity in rings of integer-valued polynomials*, J. Algebra **293** (2005) 595–610.
- 3. S. Frisch, S. Nakato, and R. Rissner: *Sets of lengths of factorizations of integer-valued polynomials on Dedekind domains with finite residue fields*, J. Algebra **528** (2019) 231–249.
- 4. J. S. Golan: Semirings and their Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999.
- 5. F. Gotti and B. Li: *Divisibility in rings of integer-valued polynomials*, New York J. Math. **28** (2022) 117–139.
- 6. A. Ostrowski: Über ganzwertige Polynome in algebaischen, Math. Ann. **77** (1916) 497–513.

Grazie mille!