

Integer-valued Polynomials Over Subrings of Matrix Algebras

Valentin Havlovec

Graz University of Technology

AMS-UMI Joint Meeting, Palermo, 2024

Notation

- D : commutative integral domain with quotient field K .
- $M_n(D)$: ring of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in D . (Similar for $M_n(K)$).

“Classical” ring of IVP: $\text{Int}_K(D) = \{f \in K[x] \mid f(a) \in D \text{ for all } a \in D\}$ - well understood.

What about plugging in elements in some D -algebra? In particular matrices over D ?

Notation

- D : commutative integral domain with quotient field K .
- $M_n(D)$: ring of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in D . (Similar for $M_n(K)$).

“Classical” ring of IVP: $\text{Int}_K(D) = \{f \in K[x] \mid f(a) \in D \text{ for all } a \in D\}$ - well understood.

What about plugging in elements in some D -algebra? In particular matrices over D ?

Notation

- D : commutative integral domain with quotient field K .
- $M_n(D)$: ring of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in D . (Similar for $M_n(K)$).

“Classical” ring of IVP: $\text{Int}_K(D) = \{f \in K[x] \mid f(a) \in D \text{ for all } a \in D\}$ - well understood.

What about plugging in elements in some D -algebra? In particular matrices over D ?

Notation

- D : commutative integral domain with quotient field K .
- $M_n(D)$: ring of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in D . (Similar for $M_n(K)$).

“Classical” ring of IVP: $\text{Int}_K(D) = \{f \in K[x] \mid f(a) \in D \text{ for all } a \in D\}$ - well understood.

What about plugging in elements in some D -algebra? In particular matrices over D ?

Two flavours of matrix integer-valued polynomials

1. Scalar coefficient polynomials:

$$\text{Int}_K(M_n(D)) = \{f \in K[x] \mid f(A) \in M_n(D) \text{ for all } A \in M_n(D)\}.$$

Form a subring of $K[x]$. Studied by Frisch, Peruginelli, Werner, ...

2. Matrix coefficient polynomials:

$$\text{Int}_{M_n(K)}(M_n(D)) = \\ \{f \in M_n(K)[x] \mid f(A) \in M_n(D) \text{ for all } A \in M_n(D)\}.$$

Where for $f = \sum_j c_j x^j$, we define $f(A) = \sum_j c_j A^j$ (right evaluation).
First studied by Werner (2012). Natural question: Do they form a ring? What is their structure?

Two flavours of matrix integer-valued polynomials

1. Scalar coefficient polynomials:

$$\text{Int}_K(M_n(D)) = \{f \in K[x] \mid f(A) \in M_n(D) \text{ for all } A \in M_n(D)\}.$$

Form a subring of $K[x]$. Studied by Frisch, Peruginelli, Werner, ...

2. Matrix coefficient polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}_{M_n(K)}(M_n(D)) = \\ \{f \in M_n(K)[x] \mid f(A) \in M_n(D) \text{ for all } A \in M_n(D)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Where for $f = \sum_i c_i x^i$, we define $f(A) = \sum_i c_i A^i$ (right evaluation).
First studied by Werner (2012). Natural question: Do they form a ring? What is their structure?

Two flavours of matrix integer-valued polynomials

1. Scalar coefficient polynomials:

$$\text{Int}_K(M_n(D)) = \{f \in K[x] \mid f(A) \in M_n(D) \text{ for all } A \in M_n(D)\}.$$

Form a subring of $K[x]$. Studied by Frisch, Peruginelli, Werner, ...

2. Matrix coefficient polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}_{M_n(K)}(M_n(D)) = \\ \{f \in M_n(K)[x] \mid f(A) \in M_n(D) \text{ for all } A \in M_n(D)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Where for $f = \sum_i c_i x^i$, we define $f(A) = \sum_i c_i A^i$ (right evaluation).
First studied by Werner (2012). Natural question: Do they form a ring? What is their structure?

Structure of $\text{Int}_{M_n(K)}(M_n(D))$

Caution

Right evaluation is not a ring homomorphism in the noncommutative setting!

For $f, g \in M_n(K)[x]$ and $A \in M_n(D)$, it could happen that

$$(fg)(A) \neq f(A)g(A),$$

but we still have

$$(f + g)(A) = f(A) + g(A).$$

So $\text{Int}_{M_n(K)}(M_n(D))$ is closed under addition, but what about multiplication? I.e. is it a subring of $M_n(K)[x]$?

Structure of $\text{Int}_{M_n(K)}(M_n(D))$

Theorem (Werner, 2012)

$\text{Int}_{M_n(K)}(M_n(D))$ is a subring of $M_n(K)[x]$.

For $f, g \in \text{Int}_{M_n(K)}(M_n(D))$, need to show that fg is also integer-valued. While $(fg)(A) \neq f(A)g(A)$, we still have $(fg)(A) = (fg(A))(A)$, so only need to consider the products fC for $C \in M_n(D)$.

If C is a unit, everything works out nicely; same for sums of units. As every matrix ($n \geq 2$) is a sum of units, we are done.

Structure of $\text{Int}_{M_n(K)}(M_n(D))$

Theorem (Werner, 2012)

$\text{Int}_{M_n(K)}(M_n(D))$ is a subring of $M_n(K)[x]$.

For $f, g \in \text{Int}_{M_n(K)}(M_n(D))$, need to show that fg is also integer-valued. While $(fg)(A) \neq f(A)g(A)$, we still have $(fg)(A) = (fg(A))(A)$, so only need to consider the products fC for $C \in M_n(D)$.

If C is a unit, everything works out nicely; same for sums of units. As every matrix ($n \geq 2$) is a sum of units, we are done.

Structure of $\text{Int}_{M_n(K)}(M_n(D))$

Theorem (Werner, 2012)

$\text{Int}_{M_n(K)}(M_n(D))$ is a subring of $M_n(K)[x]$.

For $f, g \in \text{Int}_{M_n(K)}(M_n(D))$, need to show that fg is also integer-valued. While $(fg)(A) \neq f(A)g(A)$, we still have $(fg)(A) = (fg(A))(A)$, so only need to consider the products fC for $C \in M_n(D)$.

If C is a unit, everything works out nicely; same for sums of units. As every matrix ($n \geq 2$) is a sum of units, we are done.

Structure of $\text{Int}_{M_n(K)}(M_n(D))$

Theorem (Frisch, 2013)

$$\text{Int}_{M_n(K)}(M_n(D)) \cong \begin{bmatrix} \text{Int}_K(M_n(D)) & \text{Int}_K(M_n(D)) & \cdots & \text{Int}_K(M_n(D)) \\ \text{Int}_K(M_n(D)) & \text{Int}_K(M_n(D)) & \cdots & \text{Int}_K(M_n(D)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \text{Int}_K(M_n(D)) & \text{Int}_K(M_n(D)) & \cdots & \text{Int}_K(M_n(D)). \end{bmatrix}$$

Upper triangular matrices

Theorem (Frisch, 2017)

Let $T_n(D)$ denote the ring of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices. Then $\text{Int}_{T_n(K)}(T_n(D)) = \{f \in T_n(K)[x] \mid f(A) \in T_n(D) \text{ for all } A \in T_n(D)\}$ is a subring of $T_n(K)[x]$ and is isomorphic to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Int}_K(T_n(D)) & \text{Int}_K(T_{n-1}(D)) & \cdots & \text{Int}_K(T_2(D)) & \text{Int}_K(D) \\ 0 & \text{Int}_K(T_{n-1}(D)) & \cdots & \text{Int}_K(T_2(D)) & \text{Int}_K(D) \\ & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \text{Int}_K(T_2(D)) & \text{Int}_K(D) \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \text{Int}_K(D) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Block triangular matrices

Theorem (Sedighi Hafshejani, Naghipour, Rismanchian, 2019)

Let $0 \leq s \leq n$ and denote by ${}_sL_n(D)$ the ring of square matrices with entries in D which are of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overbrace{\begin{matrix} * & * & \cdots & * \end{matrix}}^s & \overbrace{\begin{matrix} * & \cdots & * \end{matrix}}^{n-s} \\ 0 & * & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & \cdots & * \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $\text{Int}_{{}_sL_n(K)}({}_sL_n(D))$ is a subring of ${}_sL_n(K)[x]$.

Question

For which other subrings of $M_n(D)$ can we do similar things and obtain *rings* of integer-valued polynomials?

Definition of $M_{\succsim}(D)$

$M_n(D)$, $T_n(D)$ and ${}_sL_n(D)$ are all instances of the following construction: Fix certain positions (i, j) and take all matrices whose only nonzero entries are in these positions. What possible patterns for these positions are allowed?

Definition

Let \succsim be a preorder on $\{1, \dots, n\}$, i.e. a reflexive and transitive relation. Define a subring $M_{\succsim}(D)$ of $M_n(D)$ by setting

$$M_{\succsim}(D) = \{(a_{ij}) \in M_n(D) \mid a_{ij} \neq 0 \Rightarrow i \succsim j\}.$$

Definition of $M_{\simeq}(D)$

$M_n(D)$, $T_n(D)$ and ${}_sL_n(D)$ are all instances of the following construction: Fix certain positions (i, j) and take all matrices whose only nonzero entries are in these positions. What possible patterns for these positions are allowed?

Definition

Let \simeq be a preorder on $\{1, \dots, n\}$, i.e. a reflexive and transitive relation. Define a subring $M_{\simeq}(D)$ of $M_n(D)$ by setting

$$M_{\simeq}(D) = \{(a_{ij}) \in M_n(D) \mid a_{ij} \neq 0 \Rightarrow i \simeq j\}.$$

Example

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & 0 & * & * & * & * & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & * & * & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ * & * & 0 & * & * & * & * & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & * \\ * & * & 0 & * & * & * & * & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & * & * & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbb{R} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

Example

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & 0 & * & * & * & * & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & * & * & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ * & * & 0 & * & * & * & * & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & * \\ * & * & 0 & * & * & * & * & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & * & * & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\cong}{=} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

A bigger example

*	*	*	0	0	*	*	*	*	0	0	*	*	*	*
*	*	*	0	0	*	*	*	*	0	0	*	*	*	*
*	*	*	0	0	*	*	*	*	0	0	*	*	*	*
0	0	0	*	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	0	0	0
0	0	0	*	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	*	0	0	0	*	*	*
0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	*	0	0	0	*	*	*
0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	*	0	0	0	*	*	*
0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	*	0	0	0	*	*	*
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	*	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	*	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*

A useful property of $M_{\preceq}(D)$

Lemma

Let \preceq be a preorder on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $A \in M_{\preceq}(D)$. Then A can be written as $A = B + C$ where B is a sum of units and C is a diagonal matrix whose only nonzero entries are in positions (h, h) where the equivalence class $[h]_{\sim}$ is a singleton.

Proof.

We get everything off the diagonal from elementary matrices and square matrices of size ≥ 2 are sum of units. The only thing remaining is exactly the matrix C claimed above. \square

A useful property of $M_{\preceq}(D)$

Lemma

Let \preceq be a preorder on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $A \in M_{\preceq}(D)$. Then A can be written as $A = B + C$ where B is a sum of units and C is a diagonal matrix whose only nonzero entries are in positions (h, h) where the equivalence class $[h]_{\sim}$ is a singleton.

Proof.

We get everything off the diagonal from elementary matrices and square matrices of size ≥ 2 are sum of units. The only thing remaining is exactly the matrix C claimed above. \square

$\text{Int}_{M_{\sim}(K)}(M_{\sim}(D))$ is a ring

Theorem

Let \sim be any preorder on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and let

$$\text{Int}_{M_{\sim}(K)}(M_{\sim}(D)) = \{f \in M_{\sim}(K)[x] \mid f(A) \in M_{\sim}(D) \text{ for all } A \in M_{\sim}(D)\}.$$

Then $\text{Int}_{M_{\sim}(K)}(M_{\sim}(D))$ is a subring of $M_{\sim}(K)[x]$.

Main proof ingredients:

- 1 Suffices to show that $\text{Int}_{M_{\sim}(K)}(M_{\sim}(D))$ is closed under multiplication by elements of $M_{\sim}(D)$ from the right.
- 2 $M_{\sim}(K)[x] \cong M_{\sim}(K[x])$; give characterization of $f = (f_{ih}) \in \text{Int}_{M_{\sim}(K)}(M_{\sim}(D))$ in terms of the scalar polynomials f_{ih} . (which is particularly nice if $[h]_{\sim}$ is singleton).
- 3 Every element A of $M_{\sim}(D)$ can be written as $A = B + C$, where B is a sum of units and C is a diagonal matrix whose nonzero entries are only in positions (h, h) where $[h]_{\sim}$ is a singleton.

$\text{Int}_{M_{\sim}(K)}(M_{\sim}(D))$ is a ring

Theorem

Let \sim be any preorder on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and let

$$\text{Int}_{M_{\sim}(K)}(M_{\sim}(D)) = \{f \in M_{\sim}(K)[x] \mid f(A) \in M_{\sim}(D) \text{ for all } A \in M_{\sim}(D)\}.$$

Then $\text{Int}_{M_{\sim}(K)}(M_{\sim}(D))$ is a subring of $M_{\sim}(K)[x]$.

Main proof ingredients:

- 1 Suffices to show that $\text{Int}_{M_{\sim}(K)}(M_{\sim}(D))$ is closed under multiplication by elements of $M_{\sim}(D)$ from the right.
- 2 $M_{\sim}(K)[x] \cong M_{\sim}(K[x])$; give characterization of $f = (f_{ih}) \in \text{Int}_{M_{\sim}(K)}(M_{\sim}(D))$ in terms of the scalar polynomials f_{ih} . (which is particularly nice if $[h]_{\sim}$ is singleton).
- 3 Every element A of $M_{\sim}(D)$ can be written as $A = B + C$, where B is a sum of units and C is a diagonal matrix whose nonzero entries are only in positions (h, h) where $[h]_{\sim}$ is a singleton.

$\text{Int}_{M_{\sim}(K)}(M_{\sim}(D))$ is a ring

Theorem

Let \sim be any preorder on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and let

$$\text{Int}_{M_{\sim}(K)}(M_{\sim}(D)) = \{f \in M_{\sim}(K)[x] \mid f(A) \in M_{\sim}(D) \text{ for all } A \in M_{\sim}(D)\}.$$

Then $\text{Int}_{M_{\sim}(K)}(M_{\sim}(D))$ is a subring of $M_{\sim}(K)[x]$.

Main proof ingredients:

- 1 Suffices to show that $\text{Int}_{M_{\sim}(K)}(M_{\sim}(D))$ is closed under multiplication by elements of $M_{\sim}(D)$ from the right.
- 2 $M_{\sim}(K)[x] \cong M_{\sim}(K[x])$; give characterization of $f = (f_{ih}) \in \text{Int}_{M_{\sim}(K)}(M_{\sim}(D))$ in terms of the scalar polynomials f_{ih} . (which is particularly nice if $[h]_{\sim}$ is singleton).
- 3 Every element A of $M_{\sim}(D)$ can be written as $A = B + C$, where B is a sum of units and C is a diagonal matrix whose nonzero entries are only in positions (h, h) where $[h]_{\sim}$ is a singleton.

$\text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D))$ is a ring

Theorem

Let \preceq be any preorder on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and let

$$\text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D)) = \{f \in M_{\preceq}(K)[x] \mid f(A) \in M_{\preceq}(D) \text{ for all } A \in M_{\preceq}(D)\}.$$

Then $\text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D))$ is a subring of $M_{\preceq}(K)[x]$.

Main proof ingredients:

- 1 Suffices to show that $\text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D))$ is closed under multiplication by elements of $M_{\preceq}(D)$ from the right.
- 2 $M_{\preceq}(K)[x] \cong M_{\preceq}(K[x])$; give characterization of $f = (f_{ih}) \in \text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D))$ in terms of the scalar polynomials f_{ih} . (which is particularly nice if $[h]_{\sim}$ is singleton).
- 3 Every element A of $M_{\preceq}(D)$ can be written as $A = B + C$, where B is a sum of units and C is a diagonal matrix whose nonzero entries are only in positions (h, h) where $[h]_{\sim}$ is a singleton.

The structure of $\text{Int}_{M_{\approx}(K)}(M_{\approx}(D))$

Knowing that $\text{Int}_{M_{\approx}(K)}(M_{\approx}(D))$ is a ring, one can also obtain a nicer characterization of its elements in terms of scalar-coefficient integer-valued polynomials.

Connection to null-polynomials

Let $f \in M_{\approx}(K)[x]$ and write $f = g/d$ for $g \in M_{\approx}(D)[x]$ and $d \in D$. Then

$$f \in \text{Int}_{M_{\approx}(K)}(M_{\approx}(D)) \Leftrightarrow \bar{g}(A) = 0 \in M_{\approx}(D/dD) \text{ for all } A \in M_{\approx}(D/dD)$$

For any finite (possibly noncommutative) ring R , there are nonzero polynomials vanishing everywhere (null-polynomials). Consider the set of them: $N(R) = \{f \in R[x] \mid f(r) = 0 \text{ for all } r \in R\}$. For which R is this a two-sided ideal of $R[x]$?

True for many classes: semisimple, odd order, ... (Werner, 2013).

Connection to null-polynomials

Let $f \in M_{\approx}(K)[x]$ and write $f = g/d$ for $g \in M_{\approx}(D)[x]$ and $d \in D$. Then

$$f \in \text{Int}_{M_{\approx}(K)}(M_{\approx}(D)) \Leftrightarrow \bar{g}(A) = 0 \in M_{\approx}(D/dD) \text{ for all } A \in M_{\approx}(D/dD)$$

For any finite (possibly noncommutative) ring R , there are nonzero polynomials vanishing everywhere (null-polynomials). Consider the set of them: $N(R) = \{f \in R[x] \mid f(r) = 0 \text{ for all } r \in R\}$. **For which R is this a two-sided ideal of $R[x]$?**

True for many classes: semisimple, odd order, ... (Werner, 2013).

Connection to null-polynomials

Let $f \in M_{\approx}(K)[x]$ and write $f = g/d$ for $g \in M_{\approx}(D)[x]$ and $d \in D$. Then

$$f \in \text{Int}_{M_{\approx}(K)}(M_{\approx}(D)) \Leftrightarrow \bar{g}(A) = 0 \in M_{\approx}(D/dD) \text{ for all } A \in M_{\approx}(D/dD)$$

For any finite (possibly noncommutative) ring R , there are nonzero polynomials vanishing everywhere (null-polynomials). Consider the set of them: $N(R) = \{f \in R[x] \mid f(r) = 0 \text{ for all } r \in R\}$. **For which R is this a two-sided ideal of $R[x]$?**

True for many classes: semisimple, odd order, ... (Werner, 2013).

Null-polynomials

Conjecture (Werner, 2013)

For any finite (noncommutative) ring R , the set of null-polynomials $N(R)$ is a two sided ideal.

It suffices to show the theorem for all subrings of matrix rings over certain commutative finite rings.

Theorem

Let \preceq be any preorder and S any commutative ring. Then the set $N(M_{\preceq}(S))$ is a two-sided ideal of $M_{\preceq}(S)[x]$.

Null-polynomials

Conjecture (Werner, 2013)

For any finite (noncommutative) ring R , the set of null-polynomials $N(R)$ is a two sided ideal.

It suffices to show the theorem for all subrings of matrix rings over certain commutative finite rings.

Theorem

Let \preceq be any preorder and S any commutative ring. Then the set $N(M_{\preceq}(S))$ is a two-sided ideal of $M_{\preceq}(S)[x]$.

Null-polynomials

Conjecture (Werner, 2013)

For any finite (noncommutative) ring R , the set of null-polynomials $N(R)$ is a two sided ideal.

It suffices to show the theorem for all subrings of matrix rings over certain commutative finite rings.

Theorem

Let \preceq be any preorder and S any commutative ring. Then the set $N(M_{\preceq}(S))$ is a two-sided ideal of $M_{\preceq}(S)[x]$.

Conclusion

- For any preorder \preceq on $\{1, \dots, n\}$, define rings $M_{\preceq}(D) \subset M_n(D)$ by restricting entries in certain positions to be 0.
- Integer-valued polynomials $\text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D))$ form a subring of $M_{\preceq}(K)[x]$.
- There is a characterization of $\text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D))$ in terms of polynomials with coefficients in K .
- The set of null-polynomials $N(M_{\preceq}(S))$ is an ideal for all commutative rings S .
- What about restricting the entries to be in some ideal of D instead of zero?

Thank you for your attention!

Conclusion

- For any preorder \preceq on $\{1, \dots, n\}$, define rings $M_{\preceq}(D) \subset M_n(D)$ by restricting entries in certain positions to be 0.
- Integer-valued polynomials $\text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D))$ form a subring of $M_{\preceq}(K)[x]$.
- There is a characterization of $\text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D))$ in terms of polynomials with coefficients in K .
- The set of null-polynomials $N(M_{\preceq}(S))$ is an ideal for all commutative rings S .
- What about restricting the entries to be in some ideal of D instead of zero?

Thank you for your attention!

Conclusion

- For any preorder \preceq on $\{1, \dots, n\}$, define rings $M_{\preceq}(D) \subset M_n(D)$ by restricting entries in certain positions to be 0.
- Integer-valued polynomials $\text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D))$ form a subring of $M_{\preceq}(K)[x]$.
- There is a characterization of $\text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D))$ in terms of polynomials with coefficients in K .
- The set of null-polynomials $N(M_{\preceq}(S))$ is an ideal for all commutative rings S .
- What about restricting the entries to be in some ideal of D instead of zero?

Thank you for your attention!

Conclusion

- For any preorder \preceq on $\{1, \dots, n\}$, define rings $M_{\preceq}(D) \subset M_n(D)$ by restricting entries in certain positions to be 0.
- Integer-valued polynomials $\text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D))$ form a subring of $M_{\preceq}(K)[x]$.
- There is a characterization of $\text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D))$ in terms of polynomials with coefficients in K .
- The set of null-polynomials $N(M_{\preceq}(S))$ is an ideal for all commutative rings S .
- What about restricting the entries to be in some ideal of D instead of zero?

Thank you for your attention!

Conclusion

- For any preorder \preceq on $\{1, \dots, n\}$, define rings $M_{\preceq}(D) \subset M_n(D)$ by restricting entries in certain positions to be 0.
- Integer-valued polynomials $\text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D))$ form a subring of $M_{\preceq}(K)[x]$.
- There is a characterization of $\text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D))$ in terms of polynomials with coefficients in K .
- The set of null-polynomials $N(M_{\preceq}(S))$ is an ideal for all commutative rings S .
- What about restricting the entries to be in some ideal of D instead of zero?

Thank you for your attention!

Conclusion

- For any preorder \preceq on $\{1, \dots, n\}$, define rings $M_{\preceq}(D) \subset M_n(D)$ by restricting entries in certain positions to be 0.
- Integer-valued polynomials $\text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D))$ form a subring of $M_{\preceq}(K)[x]$.
- There is a characterization of $\text{Int}_{M_{\preceq}(K)}(M_{\preceq}(D))$ in terms of polynomials with coefficients in K .
- The set of null-polynomials $N(M_{\preceq}(S))$ is an ideal for all commutative rings S .
- What about restricting the entries to be in some ideal of D instead of zero?

Thank you for your attention!