

# On an Inverse Zero-sum Question for Rank Two Groups

David J. Gryniewicz

University of Memphis

July 24, 2024

# The Davenport Constant

## Definition (Davenport Constant)

For a finite abelian group  $G$ , the **Davenport Constant**  $D(G)$  is the minimal integer such that any sequence of  $D(G)$  terms from  $G$  must have a nontrivial **zero-sum** subsequence (one whose terms sum to zero).

# The Davenport Constant

## Definition (Davenport Constant)

For a finite abelian group  $G$ , the **Davenport Constant**  $D(G)$  is the minimal integer such that any sequence of  $D(G)$  terms from  $G$  must have a nontrivial **zero-sum** subsequence (one whose terms sum to zero).

## Remark (Relation to Factorization Theory)

*Many arithmetic questions of in a monoid  $M$ , for example any (Transfer) Krull Monoid, including Krull Domains, can be directly translated into questions about zero-sum sequences over finite abelian groups.*

# Example

## Example

Let  $G = C_n \oplus C_n$ , where  $C_n$  denotes a cyclic group of order  $n$ , say with **basis**  $(e_1, e_2)$ , so  $G = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \langle e_2 \rangle$  with  $\text{ord}(e_1) = \text{ord}(e_2) = n$ .

# Example

## Example

Let  $G = C_n \oplus C_n$ , where  $C_n$  denotes a cyclic group of order  $n$ , say with **basis**  $(e_1, e_2)$ , so  $G = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \langle e_2 \rangle$  with  $\text{ord}(e_1) = \text{ord}(e_2) = n$ . Then

$$e_1^{n-1} \cdot e_2^{n-1}$$

shows  $D(G) \geq 2n - 1$ .

► **Notation:** Sequences written as unordered multiplicative strings, so

$$S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_\ell$$

with  $g_1, \dots, g_\ell \in G$  is a sequence of terms from  $G$  with length  $\ell$ , and

$$g^n = \underbrace{g \cdot \dots \cdot g}_n \cdot$$

## Exact Value for Rank Two Groups

- ▶ (Olson 1969)  $D(C_n \oplus C_n) = 2n - 1$

# Exact Value for Rank Two Groups

- ▶ (Olson 1969)  $D(C_n \oplus C_n) = 2n - 1$
- ▶ Group algebras/Polynomial Methods used to show  $D(C_p \oplus C_p) = 2p - 1$  when  $p$  prime

# Exact Value for Rank Two Groups

- ▶ (Olson 1969)  $D(C_n \oplus C_n) = 2n - 1$
- ▶ Group algebras/Polynomial Methods used to show  $D(C_p \oplus C_p) = 2p - 1$  when  $p$  prime
- ▶ Auxiliary constant  $\eta(G)$  introduced:



# Exact Value for Rank Two Groups

- ▶ (Olson 1969)  $D(C_n \oplus C_n) = 2n - 1$
- ▶ Group algebras/Polynomial Methods used to show  $D(C_p \oplus C_p) = 2p - 1$  when  $p$  prime
- ▶ Auxiliary constant  $\eta(G)$  introduced:
  
- ▶ Minimal integer such that any sequence of terms from  $S$  with length  $\eta(G)$  must contain a nontrivial zero-sum subsequence with length at most the exponent  $\exp(G)$

# Exact Value for Rank Two Groups

- ▶ (Olson 1969)  $D(C_n \oplus C_n) = 2n - 1$
- ▶ Group algebras/Polynomial Methods used to show  $D(C_p \oplus C_p) = 2p - 1$  when  $p$  prime
- ▶ Auxiliary constant  $\eta(G)$  introduced:
  - ▶ Minimal integer such that any sequence of terms from  $S$  with length  $\eta(G)$  must contain a nontrivial zero-sum subsequence with length at most the exponent  $\exp(G)$
- ▶ Group Algebra/Polynomial Methods used to show  $\eta(C_p^2) = 3p - 2$

# Exact Value for Rank Two Groups

- ▶ (Olson 1969)  $D(C_n \oplus C_n) = 2n - 1$
- ▶ Group algebras/Polynomial Methods used to show  $D(C_p \oplus C_p) = 2p - 1$  when  $p$  prime
- ▶ Auxiliary constant  $\eta(G)$  introduced:
  - ▶ Minimal integer such that any sequence of terms from  $S$  with length  $\eta(G)$  must contain a nontrivial zero-sum subsequence with length at most the exponent  $\exp(G)$
- ▶ Group Algebra/Polynomial Methods used to show  $\eta(C_p^2) = 3p - 2$
- ▶ Inductive argument using  $D(G)$  and  $\eta(G)$  completes the proof and also shows  $\eta(C_n \oplus C_n) = 3n - 2$ .

# Characterization of Extremal Sequences I

## Question

*Characterize all sequences of length  $D(C_n^2) - 1 = 2n - 2$  that do NOT have a nontrivial zero-sum sequence.*

# Characterization of Extremal Sequences I

## Question

*Characterize all sequences of length  $D(C_n^2) - 1 = 2n - 2$  that do NOT have a nontrivial zero-sum sequence.*

## Question

*Equivalent to characterizing all **minimal** zero-sum sequences of length  $D(C_n^2) = 2n - 1$ , so those zero-sum sequences having no proper, nontrivial zero-sum subsequence.*

# Characterization of Extremal Sequences I

## Question

Characterize all sequences of length  $D(C_n^2) - 1 = 2n - 2$  that do NOT have a nontrivial zero-sum sequence.

## Question

Equivalent to characterizing all **minimal** zero-sum sequences of length  $D(C_n^2) = 2n - 1$ , so those zero-sum sequences having no proper, nontrivial zero-sum subsequence.

- ▶ Question Resolved: All have the form

$$S = e_1^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i e_1 + e_2)$$

for some basis  $(e_1, e_2)$  for  $G = C_n^2$  and some  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0, n-1]$  with  $x_1 + \dots + x_n \equiv 1 \pmod n$ .

# Characterization of Extremal Sequences II

- ▶ (Gao, Geroldinger 2003) Small Cases, Basic Properties, Reduction to odd case.

# Characterization of Extremal Sequences II

- ▶ (Gao, Geroldinger 2003) Small Cases, Basic Properties, Reduction to odd case.
- ▶ (Bhowmik, Halupczok, Schalge-Puchta 2009) More small cases  $n \leq 9$  (computer)



# Characterization of Extremal Sequences II

- ▶ (Gao, Geroldinger 2003) Small Cases, Basic Properties, Reduction to odd case.
- ▶ (Bhowmik, Halupczok, Schalge-Puchta 2009) More small cases  $n \leq 9$  (computer)
- ▶ (Gao, Geroldinger, G. 2010) Reduction to the case  $n = p$  is prime.

# Characterization of Extremal Sequences II

- ▶ (Gao, Geroldinger 2003) Small Cases, Basic Properties, Reduction to odd case.
- ▶ (Bhowmik, Halupczok, Schalge-Puchta 2009) More small cases  $n \leq 9$  (computer)
- ▶ (Gao, Geroldinger, G. 2010) Reduction to the case  $n = p$  is prime.
- ▶ (Reiher 2010) The case  $n = p$  is prime.

# Characterization of Extremal Sequences II

- ▶ (Gao, Geroldinger 2003) Small Cases, Basic Properties, Reduction to odd case.
- ▶ (Bhowmik, Halupczok, Schalge-Puchta 2009) More small cases  $n \leq 9$  (computer)
- ▶ (Gao, Geroldinger, G. 2010) Reduction to the case  $n = p$  is prime.
- ▶ (Reiher 2010) The case  $n = p$  is prime.
- ▶ (Geroldinger, G., Zhong, 2025?) Complete Compilation of proof (including a fixed missing case) and removal of computer verification of small case  $n \leq 9$ .

# Characterization of Extremal Sequences II

- ▶ (Gao, Geroldinger 2003) Small Cases, Basic Properties, Reduction to odd case.
- ▶ (Bhowmik, Halupczok, Schalge-Puchta 2009) More small cases  $n \leq 9$  (computer)
- ▶ (Gao, Geroldinger, G. 2010) Reduction to the case  $n = p$  is prime.
- ▶ (Reiher 2010) The case  $n = p$  is prime.
- ▶ (Geroldinger, G., Zhong, 2025?) Complete Compilation of proof (including a fixed missing case) and removal of computer verification of small case  $n \leq 9$ .
- ▶ Total Length nearly 150 pages.

# A New Variation

## Definition

Let  $s_{\leq k}(G)$  denote the smallest integer such that any sequence of  $s_{\leq k}(G)$  terms from  $G$  must contain a nontrivial zero-sum subsequence of length at most  $k$ .

# A New Variation

## Definition

Let  $s_{\leq k}(G)$  denote the smallest integer such that any sequence of  $s_{\leq k}(G)$  terms from  $G$  must contain a nontrivial zero-sum subsequence of length at most  $k$ .

## Theorem (Wang, Zhao 2017)

$s_{\leq 2n-1-k}(G) = 2n - 1 + k$  for  $G = C_n^2$  and  $k \in [0, n - 1]$ .

# A New Variation

## Definition

Let  $s_{\leq k}(G)$  denote the smallest integer such that any sequence of  $s_{\leq k}(G)$  terms from  $G$  must contain a nontrivial zero-sum subsequence of length at most  $k$ .

## Theorem (Wang, Zhao 2017)

$s_{\leq 2n-1-k}(G) = 2n - 1 + k$  for  $G = C_n^2$  and  $k \in [0, n - 1]$ .

## Remark

$s_{\leq 2n-1-k}(G) = \infty$  for  $k \geq n$ ,

$s_{\leq 2n-1-k}(G) = \eta(G)$  for  $k = n - 1$ ,

$s_{\leq 2n-1-k}(G) = D(G)$  for  $k \leq 0$ ,

# Characterization of Extremal Sequences III

## Question

*Characterize all sequences of length  $s_{\leq 2n-1-k}(G) - 1 = 2n - 2 + k$  that do NOT have a nontrivial zero-sum sequence of length at most  $2n - 1 - k$ .*



# Characterization of Extremal Sequences III

## Question

*Characterize all sequences of length  $s_{\leq 2n-1-k}(G) - 1 = 2n - 2 + k$  that do NOT have a nontrivial zero-sum sequence of length at most  $2n - 1 - k$ .*

- ▶ When  $k \in \{0, 1\}$ , equivalent to characterization of extremal sequence for  $D(G)$ , so known.

# Characterization of Extremal Sequences III

## Question

Characterize all sequences of length  $s_{\leq 2n-1-k}(G) - 1 = 2n - 2 + k$  that do NOT have a nontrivial zero-sum sequence of length at most  $2n - 1 - k$ .

- ▶ When  $k \in \{0, 1\}$ , equivalent to characterization of extremal sequence for  $D(G)$ , so known.
- ▶ When  $k = n - 1$ , equivalent to characterization of extremal sequences for  $\eta(G)$ . Known to follow from case  $k = 1$  (Gao, Geroldinger 2003)

# Characterization of Extremal Sequences III

## Question

Characterize all sequences of length  $s_{\leq 2n-1-k}(G) - 1 = 2n - 2 + k$  that do NOT have a nontrivial zero-sum sequence of length at most  $2n - 1 - k$ .

- ▶ When  $k \in \{0, 1\}$ , equivalent to characterization of extremal sequence for  $D(G)$ , so known.
- ▶ When  $k = n - 1$ , equivalent to characterization of extremal sequences for  $\eta(G)$ . Known to follow from case  $k = 1$  (Gao, Geroldinger 2003)

## Conjecture

When  $k \in [2, n - 2]$ , all such sequences have the form

$$S = e_1^{n-1} \cdot e_2^{n-1} \cdot (e_1 + e_2)^k$$

for some basis  $(e_1, e_2)$  for  $G = C_n^2$ .

# Characterization of Extremal Sequences IV

- ▶ (G., Wang, Zhao 2020) Shown true when  $n = p$  is prime and  $k \leq \frac{2p-1}{3}$ .

# Characterization of Extremal Sequences IV

- ▶ (G., Wang, Zhao 2020) Shown true when  $n = p$  is prime and  $k \leq \frac{2p-1}{3}$ .
- ▶ (G.,Liu 2022) Reduction to case  $n = p$  prime

# Characterization of Extremal Sequences IV

- ▶ (G., Wang, Zhao 2020) Shown true when  $n = p$  is prime and  $k \leq \frac{2p-1}{3}$ .
- ▶ (G., Liu 2022) Reduction to case  $n = p$  prime
- ▶ Final piece  $n = p$  now resolved:

## Theorem (Ebert, G. 2023)

Let  $G = C_p \oplus C_p$  with  $p$  a prime, let  $k \in [2, p-2]$  be an integer, and let  $S$  be a sequence of terms from  $G$  with  $|S| = D(G) + k - 1 = 2p - 2 + k$  having no nonempty zero-sum subsequence of length at most  $D(G) - k = 2p - 1 - k$ . Then there is a basis  $(e_1, e_2)$  for  $G$  such that

$$S = e_1^{p-1} \cdot e_2^{p-1} \cdot (e_1 + e_2)^k.$$

# Ideas for the Proof I

- ▶ First Step: Extend a Lemma from [G., Wang, Zhao] for  $k \leq \frac{2p-1}{3}$  to general  $k \leq p-1$ .

# Ideas for the Proof I

- ▶ First Step: Extend a Lemma from [G., Wang, Zhao] for  $k \leq \frac{2p-1}{3}$  to general  $k \leq p-1$ .

## Lemma

*Let  $G = C_p \oplus C_p$  with  $p$  prime, let  $k \in [1, p-1]$  be an integer, and let  $S$  be a sequence of terms from  $G$  with length  $2p-2+k$  that has no nontrivial zero-sum subsequence of length at most  $2p-1-k$ . Then*

$$\text{Number of zero-sums of length } 2p-1 \equiv k \pmod{p}.$$

*In particular,  $S$  contains at least  $k$  zero-sum subsequences of length  $D(G) = 2p-1$ , and any such zero-sum is minimal.*



# Ideas for the Proof I

- ▶ First Step: Extend a Lemma from [G., Wang, Zhao] for  $k \leq \frac{2p-1}{3}$  to general  $k \leq p-1$ .

## Lemma

*Let  $G = C_p \oplus C_p$  with  $p$  prime, let  $k \in [1, p-1]$  be an integer, and let  $S$  be a sequence of terms from  $G$  with length  $2p-2+k$  that has no nontrivial zero-sum subsequence of length at most  $2p-1-k$ . Then*

$$\text{Number of zero-sums of length } 2p-1 \equiv k \pmod{p}.$$

*In particular,  $S$  contains at least  $k$  zero-sum subsequences of length  $D(G) = 2p-1$ , and any such zero-sum is minimal.*

- ▶ Using the above lemma and the characterization for  $D(G)$  gives the complete structure for  $2p-1$  terms in  $S$ . In particular, there is one term  $e_1$  with multiplicity  $p-1$ .

# Ideas for the Proof I

- ▶ First Step: Extend a Lemma from [G., Wang, Zhao] for  $k \leq \frac{2p-1}{3}$  to general  $k \leq p-1$ .

## Lemma

*Let  $G = C_p \oplus C_p$  with  $p$  prime, let  $k \in [1, p-1]$  be an integer, and let  $S$  be a sequence of terms from  $G$  with length  $2p-2+k$  that has no nontrivial zero-sum subsequence of length at most  $2p-1-k$ . Then*

$$\text{Number of zero-sums of length } 2p-1 \equiv k \pmod{p}.$$

*In particular,  $S$  contains at least  $k$  zero-sum subsequences of length  $D(G) = 2p-1$ , and any such zero-sum is minimal.*

- ▶ Using the above lemma and the characterization for  $D(G)$  gives the complete structure for  $2p-1$  terms in  $S$ . In particular, there is one term  $e_1$  with multiplicity  $p-1$ .
- ▶ Matrix calculation modulo  $p$  using binomial congruences.

## Ideas for the Proof II

- ▶ A new proof of the equality  $s_{\leq 2p-1-k}(C_p^2) = 2p - 1 + k$ .

## Ideas for the Proof II

- ▶ A new proof of the equality  $s_{\leq 2p-1-k}(C_p^2) = 2p - 1 + k$ .
- ▶ Take the sequence  $S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_{2p-1+k}$  in  $G = C_p^2 = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \langle e_2 \rangle$  and create new zero-sum sequence

$$\tilde{S} = (g_1 + e_3) \cdot \dots \cdot (g_{2p-1+k} + e_3) \cdot (-e_3)^{p-k-1}$$

of terms in  $C_p^3 = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \langle e_2 \rangle \oplus \langle e_3 \rangle$ .

## Ideas for the Proof II

- ▶ A new proof of the equality  $s_{\leq 2p-1-k}(C_p^2) = 2p - 1 + k$ .
- ▶ Take the sequence  $S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_{2p-1+k}$  in  $G = C_p^2 = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \langle e_2 \rangle$  and create new zero-sum sequence

$$\tilde{S} = (g_1 + e_3) \cdot \dots \cdot (g_{2p-1+k} + e_3) \cdot (-e_3)^{p-k-1}$$

of terms in  $C_p^3 = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \langle e_2 \rangle \oplus \langle e_3 \rangle$ .

- ▶ Use that  $D(C_p^3) = 3p - 2$  is known for primes to find a zero-sum subsequence of  $\tilde{S}$ , which then corresponds to a zero-sum sequence in  $S$  of the target length.

## Ideas for the Proof III

- ▶ Modify the proof when  $S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_{2p-2+k}$  has one term less to create a zero-sum sequence of terms

$$\tilde{S} = (g_1 + e_3) \cdot \dots \cdot (g_{2p-2+k} + e_3) \cdot (-e_3)^{p-k-1} \cdot g_0$$

from  $C_p^3$  of length  $D(C_p^3) = 3p - 2$ .

## Ideas for the Proof III

- ▶ Modify the proof when  $S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_{2p-2+k}$  has one term less to create a zero-sum sequence of terms

$$\tilde{S} = (g_1 + e_3) \cdot \dots \cdot (g_{2p-2+k} + e_3) \cdot (-e_3)^{p-k-1} \cdot g_0$$

from  $C_p^3$  of length  $D(C_p^3) = 3p - 2$ .

- ▶ Show it is a minimal zero-sum

## Ideas for the Proof III

- ▶ Modify the proof when  $S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_{2p-2+k}$  has one term less to create a zero-sum sequence of terms

$$\tilde{S} = (g_1 + e_3) \cdot \dots \cdot (g_{2p-2+k} + e_3) \cdot (-e_3)^{p-k-1} \cdot g_0$$

from  $C_p^3$  of length  $D(C_p^3) = 3p - 2$ .

- ▶ Show it is a minimal zero-sum
- ▶ Use that it has term with multiplicity  $p - 1$  to reduce the characterization of its structure to the characterization of extremal sequences for  $D(C_p^2)$ .



## Ideas for the Proof III

- ▶ Modify the proof when  $S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_{2p-2+k}$  has one term less to create a zero-sum sequence of terms

$$\tilde{S} = (g_1 + e_3) \cdot \dots \cdot (g_{2p-2+k} + e_3) \cdot (-e_3)^{p-k-1} \cdot g_0$$

from  $C_p^3$  of length  $D(C_p^3) = 3p - 2$ .

- ▶ Show it is a minimal zero-sum
- ▶ Use that it has term with multiplicity  $p - 1$  to reduce the characterization of its structure to the characterization of extremal sequences for  $D(C_p^2)$ .
- ▶ Get a 2nd term with multiplicity  $p - 1$ :

$$S = e_1^{p-1} \cdot e_2^{p-1} \cdot T$$

.

## Ideas for the Proof III

- ▶ Modify the proof when  $S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_{2p-2+k}$  has one term less to create a zero-sum sequence of terms

$$\tilde{S} = (g_1 + e_3) \cdot \dots \cdot (g_{2p-2+k} + e_3) \cdot (-e_3)^{p-k-1} \cdot g_0$$

from  $C_p^3$  of length  $D(C_p^3) = 3p - 2$ .

- ▶ Show it is a minimal zero-sum
- ▶ Use that it has term with multiplicity  $p - 1$  to reduce the characterization of its structure to the characterization of extremal sequences for  $D(C_p^2)$ .
- ▶ Get a 2nd term with multiplicity  $p - 1$ :

$$S = e_1^{p-1} \cdot e_2^{p-1} \cdot T$$

.

- ▶ Use another reduction map to a cyclic group to show all terms in  $T$  are equal and the proof then concludes.

Thanks!